

District-level crop model calibration in southern Sweden using evapotranspiration and observed crop yield data

1. Introduction

Dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs) represent growth and development of vegetation based on the understanding of underlying physical and physiological processes, which are efficient tools to assess impacts of climate change and human management on vegetation response to these variations.

One of the process-based DGVMs LPJ-GUESS (Lund-Potsdam-Jena General Ecosystem Simulator) has shown its acceptable performance in simulating crop yield at global and regional scales. However, no studies have comprehensively investigated the added value of using multi-source data, particularly satellite-derived estimates for calibrating LPJ-GUESS at the district level.

We aim to bridge this gap by calibrating LPJ-GUESS using both observed crop yield data and satellite derived evapotranspiration (ET) data, to improve the model performance of simulating winter wheat and spring barley yield in southern Sweden.



Figure 1 Cropping map of winter wheat and spring barley in southern Sweden

Contact

xueying.li@nateko.lu.se https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Xueying-Li-9 https://portal.research.lu.se/en/persons/xueying-li Lund University Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science Sölvegatan 12, S-223 62 Lund, Sweden







Xueying Li¹, Wenxin Zhang¹, Minchao Wu¹, Hao Zhou¹, Stefan Olin¹, El Houssaine Bouras¹, Shangharsha Thapa¹, Zheng Duan¹ ¹Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

2. Data and Methodology

FORMAS

Figure 3 (a) Simulated crop yield (left) and ET (right) of all combinations (b) Simulated crop yield (left) and ET (right) of top 500 combinations with lowest RMSE

Figure 4 (a)–(f) Parameter sensitivity in crop yield (left) and ET (right) simulation of all combinations (g)–(l) Frequency of each parameter value of top 500 combinations with lowest RMSE in crop yield (left) and ET (right) simulation

4. Conclusions

(1) The calibration process truly improved the performance of both crop yield and ET simulation (i.e., RMSE and r) for winter wheat.

(2) Four parameters, including Minimum C:N ratio (*C:Nmin*), Retranslocation of N (*Nret*), Nitrogen extinction coefficient (*kN*), and N demand reduction by leaves (*Ndred*) were sensitive in both crop yield and ET simulation.

(3) 191 of the top 500 combinations with lowest RMSE overlapped between crop yield and ET simulation.

Acknowledgements:

This work has been supported by FORMAS (2019-01296).