

# On the influence of ambient atmospheric pressure on multi-component, direct observations of rotational ground motion



University of Stuttgart Germany

### **Direct Rotational Ground Motion**

The full linear elastic seismic displacement wavefield can be separated into 3 translational (u), 3 rotational ( $\Omega$ ) and 6 strain ( $\epsilon$ ) degrees of freedom (DoF):

 $\boldsymbol{u} + \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \delta \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \delta \boldsymbol{x}$  with  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}$ 





FIG 2: Schematic of ROMY's structure (Hand, 2017).

FIG 1: Translational (u) and rotational (w) ground motions.



### **Consequences for ROMY's Noise Model**

We are interested to quantify and understand noise limitations for rotational ground motions at ROMY for low frequencies caused by atmospheric pressure coupling.

- Derived atmospheric pressure admittance for rotation rates at ROMY and RLAS (G-ring laser in Wettzell, Germany) and accelerations at BFO and FUR are shown in Figure 6a and 6b respectively. Median barometric pressure observed at ROMY is related to low and high noise models by Marty et al. (2021) in Figure 6c and 6d.
- By using the lower confidence limit of observed and the low noise model for barometric pressure levels and corresponding admittances, the expected level of minimum ground rotation rate can be estimated and compared to proposed low noise models for rotation rate (Brotzer et al. 2023) and translational acceleration (Petersen 1993).
- A different admittance and noise level for horizontal and vertical components is observed and expected.
- The difference between ROMY (sediments) and G-ring (hard rock) is most likely due to local geological setting.



**References:** 

## Andreas Brotzer<sup>1</sup> | Rudolf Widmer-Schnidrig<sup>2</sup> | Heiner Igel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich, Germany | <sup>2</sup> University of Stuttgart, Institute of Geodesy, Black Forest Observatory, Wolfach, Germany





Hand (2017). Lord of the rings. Science. doi.org/10.1126/science.356.6335.23 Marty et al. (2021). Low and high broadband spectral models of atmospheric pressure fluctuation. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-21-0006.1 Brotzer et al. (2023). Characterizing the Background Noise Level of Rotational Ground Motions on Earth. Seismological Research Letters. doi.org/10.1785/0220230202 Petersen (1993). Observations and modeling of seismic background noise. USGS. doi.org/10.3133/ofr93322

- An increase in spectral power for atmospheric in particular for horizontal rotations.
- A barometer (MB2005) provides infrasound (BDF) and absolute (BDO) atmospheric pressure observations (Fig. 4).
- Computing mean PSD and coherence values in 12<sup>th</sup> - octave frequency bands for several weeks reveals a pressure admittance for ground motions (Fig. 5).
- We estimate admittance using intercepts of a linear regression model for coherence values > 0.8 (red) and apply a constant offset (purple) as shown in Figure 5.



EGU24 12679





# **Deriving Admittance for Rotational Ground motions for Atmospheric Pressure** pressue between 07:00 and 17:00 on 2024-03-21 (Fig. 4) corresponds to an increase in rotation rate, N=1338 N=138 NPSD (Pa<sup>2</sup> /Hz) PSD (Pa<sup>2</sup> /Hz) PSD (Pa<sup>2</sup> /Hz) FIG 5: Mean PSD values of (a-b) tilt, (c-e) acceleration and

(f-h) rotation rate components versus air pressure for exemplary frequency bands, color-coded by coherence.

## **Conclusions and Outlook**



### Acknowlegments:

Our gratidude goes to the technical support team of ROMY.

ר ר		
	Contact	
こ		

### andreas.brotzer@lmu.de