









Norwegian University of Science and Technology

The rapid retreat of two lake-terminating outlet glaciers of the Northern Patagonian Ice Sheet and underestimation of mass loss due to subaqueous ice volume

Pascal Emanuel Egli¹, Marcelo Somos-Valenzuela², Inés Dussaillant³, Iñigo Irarrazaval⁴, Benjamín Sotomayor González⁵, Elizabet Lizama², Bastián Morales², and Joaquín Fernandez²

(1) Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, (2) Universidad de la Frontera, Temuco, Chile, (3) World Glacier Monitoring Service, University of Zurich, Switzerland, (4) Centro de Investigación de Ecosistemas de la Patagonia, Coyhaique, Chile, (5) Dron Aerogeomatica SPA, Coyghaique, Chile.



• The Northern Patagonian Ice Sheet (NPI) and the Southern Patagonian Ice Sheet are the largest ice masses on the southern hemisphere outside of Antarctica, with an ice volume of ~4,756 ± 923 km3 (McDonnell et al., 2022).





Figure 1 : Gualas Glacier and Reicher Glacier and their proglacial lakes

- Lake-terminating glaciers at the NPI had the most significant increase in mass loss between the 1976-2000 period and the 2000-2020 period • First-ever data collection in Nov. 2023 and in Mar. 2024 at the remote Gualas and Reicher glaciers: using boat, zodiacs, packrafts and kayaks to study interaction of glacier and proglacial lakes
- How do lake-terminating glaciers in Past Northern Patagonia behave under rapid Present retreat? What are the governing processes?
- Does ablation measured at stakes and UAVbased ice elevation change for Gualas glacier correspond to estimates from satellite-based analyses?
- What is the estimated subaqueous ice mass loss of lake-terminating glaciers Gualas and Reichter since the 1980s?

Figure 2 : Geodetic mass balance and underestimation of subaqueous ice mass loss

(4) FIELD DATA collected in November 2023 and in March 2024

- Repeated **UAV surveys** of both glacier tongues
- **Bathymetry surveys** of Reichter and Gualas lakes
- Ablation stakes on Gualas glacier
- **GPR surveys** on Gualas glacier
- **Lake profiling** : temperature, conductivity and depth
- - Figure 6: Reicher glacier terminus
- Water isotope samples collected on the glacier and in streams

Figure 7 : (a) Glacier retreat since 1985, manually drawn from satellite data (Google Earth Engine): bright is 1985, red is recent.







Figure 4 : Historical aerial

imagery of 1945 showing

Reicher Glacier, filling up

Figure 5 : Ground

target measurements

on Gualas Glacier in

November 2023

Bathymetry: interpolation of kayakbased sonar surveys to obtain DEMs

Figure 3 : Bathymetry survey on Reicher glacier, Nov. 2023

 $\Delta M = \dot{M} + A_{subw}$

Total mass loss

= Geodetic mass loss + subaqueous mass loss the 9 km long valley

(5) PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The geodetic mass balance was calculated for the Northern Patagonian glaciers by Dussaillant et al. (2019) based on satellite data. Below, we present a first rough estimate of underestimation of the total mass loss due to the non accounting of the subaqueous mass loss by the geodetic method in glaciers ending in water bodies. We apply it to Reicher Glacier and to Gualas Glacier based on glacier outlines and interpolated bathymetry data from November 2023. The geodetic mass loss between 2000 and 2018 at Gualas Glacier was -1.3 +/- 0.13 mWE yr⁻¹, while it was -0.9 +/- 0.1 mWE yr⁻¹ at Reicher Glacier (Dussaillant et al., 2019).

Subaqueous mass loss	Reicher		Gualas	
Type of measure	% of total	Abs. [m w.e. /a]	% of total	Abs. [m w.e. / a]
2000 - 2023	14.4 %	-0.15	8.6 %	-0.12
1985 - 2023	36.9 %	-0.40	11.9 %	-0.09



Figure 8: Elevation change (dh/dt) of the four main outlet glaciers on the north-western margin of the NPI.



(b) bathymetry measured from kayaks / zodiac in November 2023 (dark: deep with max. 260 m for Gualas Glacier and max. 366 m for Reicher Glacier, white: shallow depth).

> Ice velocities, ablation and dh/dt at Gualas glacier tongue between November 2023 and March 2024 Seasonal ice ablation over 4 months at Gualas glacier was between 5.4 m (at 300 m.a.s.l.) and 6 m (at 250 m.a.s.l). Ice velocities obtained by correlating 1-m resolution drone imagery for an area of 3 km² range between 60 and 120 m/a for the frontal part.

nean velocity (m a-1) 23-November-2023 - 23-March-2024



Figure 10 a & b: Velocity field and orthophoto for Gualas glacier

CONCLUSION

The estimates of subaqueous ice mass loss are only approximations, showing a maximum possible value in the case of grounded ice. The underestimation of ice mass loss by geodetic mass balance seems to be significant, and this merits a region-wide study.

Figure 9: Bathymetry of Reicher lake with glacier extents.

References

Dussaillant, I., Berthier, E., Brun, F., Masiokas, M., Hugonnet, R., Favier, V., ... & Ruiz, L. (2019). Two decades of glacier mass loss along the Andes. Nature Geoscience, 12(10), 802-808. Messerli, A. and Grinsted, A. (2015), Image GeoRectification And Feature Tracking toolbox: ImGRAFT, Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst., 4, 23-34, doi: Harrison, S., & Winchester, V. (1998). Historical fluctuations of the Gualas and Reicher glaciers, north Patagonian Icefield, Chile. The Holocene, 8(4), 481-485. McDonnell, M., Rupper, S., & Forster, R. (2022). Quantifying geodetic mass balance of the northern and Southern Patagonian Icefields since 1976. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10, 813574. Minowa, M., Schaefer, M., & Skvarca, P. (2023). Effects of topography on dynamics and mass loss of lake-terminating glaciers in southern Patagonia. Journal of Glaciology, 1-18. Rignot, E., Rivera, A., & Casassa, G. (2003). Contribution of the Patagonia Icefields of South America to sea level rise. Science, 302(5644), 434-437. Zhang, G., Bolch, T., Yao, T., Rounce, D. R., Chen, W., Veh, G., ... & Wang, W. (2023). Underestimated mass loss from lake-terminating glaciers in the greater Himalaya. Nature Geoscience, 16(4), 333-338.

Contact information

Pascal E. Egli, NTNU Trondheim, Norway. Geographer and mountain athlete pascal.e.egli@ntnu.no



Acknowledments

Thanks go to the National Geographic research grant EC-95830R-22 for funding fieldwork in Northern Patagonia, and to CIEP Coyhaique and Universidad de la Frontera for support and planning. The first author thanks his university, NTNU, for financing travels and logistics for the field campaign in Patagonia.

