

Shoulder season controls on methane emissions from a boreal peatland

Katharina JENTZSCH¹, Elisa MÄNNISTÖ², Maija E. MARUSHCHAK^{3,4}, Aino KORRENSALO^{4,5}, Lona VAN DELDEN¹, Eeva-Stiina TUUTTILA², Christian KNOBLAUCH^{6,7}, and Claire C. TREAT¹

¹Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI) Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Potsdam, Germany

²School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Finland

³Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

⁴Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

⁵Natural Resources Institute Finland, Joensuu, Finland

⁶Institute of Soil Science, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁷Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

Correspondence to: Katharina Jentzsch (katharina.jentzsch@awi.de)

Introduction

Cold-season methane (CH₄) emissions account for 45 % of the annual total of methane emissions from northern (> 60 °N) peatlands (Ito et al., 2023). However, cold-season processes are poorly captured by CH₄ models showing in a high variability in shoulder season estimates and in a general underestimation of cold-season emissions (27 ± 9 % compared to 45 % of annual emissions in observations). Peatland CH₄ emissions result from the balance between CH₄ production in the anaerobic zone below the water table and CH₄ oxidation in the upper aerobic part of the peat column (Hanson and Hanson, 1996). The fraction of CH₄ oxidized further depends on the pathway of CH₄ transport to the atmosphere (Lai, 2009). CH₄ following the concentration gradient to the atmosphere via diffusion through the peat is most prone to oxidation while CH₄ emitted through aerenchyma of wetland sedges or in the form of gas bubbles (ebullition) passes by the aerobic oxidation layer. Our aim with this study was to identify the environmental controls on the components of CH₄ fluxes (production, oxidation, and transport), with a focus on shoulder season processes.

Methods

We partitioned net CH₄ fluxes into their components by combining manual chamber flux measurements on vegetation removal treatments with pore water sampling for concentrations and stable carbon isotope ratios of dissolved CH₄ in the wet hollows of Siikaneva bog in Southern Finland during seasonal field campaigns in 2022. We related seasonal variations in the flux components to changes in environmental and ecological conditions using linear mixed effects models.

Results and Discussion

Rates of CH₄ production were higher than oxidation rates throughout our study period, resulting in net CH₄ emissions of 34 mgCH₄m⁻²d⁻¹ to 1025 mgCH₄m⁻²d⁻¹. As expected, CH₄ production generally decreased with decreasing peat temperatures in the anaerobic peat zone after summer (Dunfield et al., 1993). This decrease was however modified by slightly higher production rates in late fall, probably related to additional input of organic material by decaying vascular plants at the end of the growing season (Joabsson et al., 1999). Despite the leaf senescence, plant-mediated CH₄ transport continued at lower rates also outside of the growing season (55 ± 31 % of emissions in the spring compared to 94 ± 3 % in summer). With decreasing plant transport and with lower peat temperatures making CH₄ more soluble in water, CH₄ accumulated in the pore water during fall. The resulting higher pore water concentrations increased the diffusive flux of CH₄ to the atmosphere. However, we found CH₄ oxidation to be strongly limited by the availability of CH₄ in the pore water. Strong oxidation in late fall (98 ± 1 %) therefore largely compensated for the higher diffusion rates related to accumulation of CH₄ in the pore water. In winter, however, below-zero temperatures in the surface peat are likely to largely inhibit CH₄ oxidation while CH₄ production continues in the deeper, warmer peat layers. High pore water concentrations in spring indicate that the frozen surface layer might furthermore act as a physical barrier to methane transport, delaying the emission of the CH₄ produced over the winter to a pulse release upon spring thaw (Alm et al., 1999; Friberg et al., 1997; Tokida et al., 2007; Zona et al., 2016).

To summarize, shoulder season CH₄ emissions were higher than expected from a simple temperature relationship because 1) decaying plants supported CH₄ production in fall, 2) plant-mediated CH₄ transport continued through completely senesced leaves outside of the growing season, 3) the emission of CH₄ produced in summer was partly delayed to fall, 4) CH₄ oxidation was probably more strongly inhibited than CH₄ production at below-zero air temperatures. The emission of CH₄ stored in the pore water in fall was largely compensated by substrate-limited CH₄ oxidation.

Conclusion

Our study points towards the high need to refine the current model parameterizations of the processes controlling peatland CH₄ emissions during the shoulder seasons. Accounting for the identified processes specific to the shoulder seasons through replacing simple temperature dependencies of CH₄ emissions by the interaction of separately modeled components of CH₄ fluxes (CH₄ production, oxidation, and transport) will likely work against the underestimation of cold-season CH₄ emissions from northern peatlands.

References

- Alm, J., Saarnio, S., Nykänen, H., Silvola, J., Martikainen, P., 1999. Winter CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes on some natural and drained boreal peatlands. *Biogeochemistry* 44, 163–186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00992977>
- Dunfield, P., Knowles, R., Dumont, R., Moore, T., 1993. Methane production and consumption in temperate and subarctic peat soils: Response to temperature and pH. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 25, 321–326. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(93\)90130-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(93)90130-4)
- Friborg, T., Christensen, T.R., Sørensen, H., 1997. Rapid response of greenhouse gas emission to early spring thaw in a subarctic mire as shown by micrometeorological techniques. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24, 3061–3064. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL03024>
- Hanson, R.S., Hanson, T.E., 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* 60, 439–471. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.60.2.439-471.1996>
- Ito, A., Li, T., Qin, Z., Melton, J.R., Tian, H., Kleinen, T., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Joos, F., Ciais, P., Hopcroft, P.O., Beerling, D.J., Liu, X., Zhuang, Q., Zhu, Q., Peng, C., Chang, K.-Y., Fluet-Chouinard, E., McNicol, G., Patra, P., Poulter, B., Sitch, S., Riley, W., Zhu, Q., 2023. Cold-Season Methane Fluxes Simulated by GCP-CH₄ Models. *Geophysical Research Letters* 50, e2023GL103037. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL103037>
- Joabsson, A., Christensen, T.R., Wallén, B., 1999. Vascular plant controls on methane emissions from northern peatforming wetlands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14, 385–388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(99\)01649-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01649-3)
- Lai, D.Y.F., 2009. Methane Dynamics in Northern Peatlands: A Review. *Pedosphere* 19, 409–421. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(09\)00003-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(09)00003-4)
- Tokida, T., Mizoguchi, M., Miyazaki, T., Kagemoto, A., Nagata, O., Hatano, R., 2007. Episodic release of methane bubbles from peatland during spring thaw. *Chemosphere* 70, 165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.06.042>
- Zona, D., Gioli, B., Commane, R., Lindaas, J., Wofsy, S.C., Miller, C.E., Dinardo, S.J., Dengel, S., Sweeney, C., Karion, A., Chang, R.Y.-W., Henderson, J.M., Murphy, P.C., Goodrich, J.P., Moreaux, V., Liljedahl, A., Watts, J.D., Kimball, J.S., Lipson, D.A., Oechel, W.C., 2016. Cold season emissions dominate the Arctic tundra methane budget. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 40–45. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516017113>

Presented at the international conference EGU General Assembly 2024, Vienna, April 14 – 19, 2024.

Please do not cite this handout but refer to our paper that will soon be published in EGU Biogeosciences.