

# **Determination of the shallow S-wave velocity structure and sediment** thickness offshore central Chile using Distributed Acoustic Sensing VERNET Clara, RIVET D., TRABATTONI A., BAILLET M., VAN DEN ENDE M.

## **1. CONTEXT**

- $\Rightarrow$  From **October to December 2021**, we recorded thousands of earthquakes in **central Chile** along a 150km fiber-optic cable<sup>[1]</sup> (red line in Fig. 1). Using **Distributed Acoustic Sensing** (DAS), we obtained dense and long-distance measurements of ground deformation, with the equivalent of **37500 virtual seismic sensors**.
- Offshore central Chile, the Valparaiso Forearc Basin (VFB) is a significant geological feature that contributes to the complexity of the region. The basin is bounded to the north by the **Punta Salinas Ridge** (PSR). The fiber crosses several canyons with highly variable sediment thickness along its path.
- We estimated both the **thickness** and **S-wave velocity** of sediments beneath the DAS fiber using strain-rate recordings for twenty local earthquakes.



Figure 2: (a) Strain-rate of an earthquake that occurred on 11/30/2021 at 08:38:31 (UTC+01:00). Data decimated in time and space by a factor 5 and bandpass filtered between 0.5 and 25 Hz. (b) Travel time delays (dt) between the PdP and PdS phases converted at the bedrock/sediment interface.



REFERENCES: <sup>1</sup>Baillet, M., et al.: A workflow for building an automatic earthquake catalog from near-real time DAS data recorded on offshore telecommunications cable in central Chile., (EGU 2024), [Session SM2.2]; <sup>2</sup>Trabattoni, A., et al.: Accounting for shallow sedimentary layers for accurate earthquake localization using submarine Distributed Acoustic Sensing, (EGU 2024), [Session SM2.2]; <sup>3</sup>Rivet, D., et al.: New perspectives on crustal imagery and the set and the perspective leveraging offshore submarine fiber optic cables, (EGU 2024), [Session SM5.2]; <sup>4</sup>Wales, D. J. & Doye, J. P. K. Global Optimization by Basin-Hopping and the Lowest Energy Structures of Lennard-Jones Clusters 84, 1081–1088 (2013). <sup>5</sup>Herrmann, R. B. Computer Programs in Seismology: An Evolving Tool for Instruction and Research Letters 84, 1081–1088 (2013).

Université Côte d'Azur, OCA, CNRS, IRD, Géoazur, Valbonne, France



**Figure 1:** Central Chile map and DAS deployment with a frequency sampling of 125Hz and a spatial sampling of 4.08m. Coloured circles correspond to the earthquakes used in this study (from MI1.9 to MI5.6).

# 2. TRAVEL TIME DELAY DUE **TO SEDIMENTS**

We measure the travel time delay (dt) within the sediment using the travel time difference between the direct PdP-wave and the converted PdS-wave at the bedrock/sediment interface:

	Water
$V_P >> V_S$	Sediments
$V_{Pn} > V_{Sn}$ PdP PdS h	Bedrock

- $\diamond$  Arrival times of the PdP, PdS and SdS are manually picked (Fig. 2a).
- dt is stable over the 20 earthquakes and can be used to estimate sediment thickness and S-wave velocity along the fiber-optic cable<sup>[2]</sup> (Fig. 2b).







### **3. SURFACE WAVE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS**

- $\diamond$  The **seismic coda**, composed of highly scattered waves, is selected after the arrival of the SdS phase (Fig. 2a). It is used to identify the fundamental mode of the Rayleigh wave phase velocity on Frequency-Wave Number (FK) diagrams (Fig. 3).
- $\diamond$  We generated FK diagrams for **2km** sections along the entire fiber, using a sliding window of 500m (289 FK diagrams in total).
- -0.02
- $\diamond$  We manually picked the lower dispersion curves to minimize the error due to the azimuth of the source<sup>[3]</sup>.

### 4. JOINT INVERSION OF THE SURFACE WAVE VELOCITIES AND TRAVEL TIME DELAYS



Figure 4: Comparison between observed and synthetic dispersion curves after the inversion. The global average misfit is  $\sim 0.1$ . The calculated  $\Delta t$  is always recovered exactly.



- A **Continental shelf:** thin layer of sediments with high-velocity.
- (B) Continental slope: sediments filling up to 500m at the base of a steep relief with increasing velocity. Steep reliefs are located all along the slope.
- $\geq$  C Edge of the VFB: sedimentary deposits are present but do not clearly define the basin feature.
- $\stackrel{0}{>}$  D **PSR:** sedimentary incision by the canyon bordering the VFB. The PSR is divided between a thin sedimentary layer and sediment accumulation (basin-like).
  - (E) **Basin features**, filled with higher velocity sediments.
  - (F) Very thin layer of low-velocity sediments along small reliefs.





Established by the European Commission



Wave Number (m<sup>-1</sup>)

Figure 3: The fundamental mode, given by the lower frequency curve, has been manually picked, frequencies range from 0.2 Hz to 6Hz.

#### CONCLUSION

- $\Rightarrow$  The results show **significant differences** in **thickness** and in Vs along the cable, with values consistent with an **unconsolidated sediment layer.**
- $\diamond$  The developed methodology confirms the potential of DAS for subsurface imaging purposes.
- **Next step:** to image the sedimentary structure along a 300km cable to the north of this cable.