

Mitigation potential of optimized aircraft trajectories and its dependency on weather patterns

Federica Castino¹, Feijia Yin¹, Volker Grewe^{1,2}, Hiroshi Yamashita^{2a}

¹ Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

² Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany



Abstract →




OBJECTIVE

To investigate how atmospheric natural variability affects:

- the potential of contrail avoidance;
- the properties of optimized aircraft trajectories.

MODEL OVERVIEW

Base model and submodels




The model computes the potential contrail coverage, i.e., the fraction of the model grid-box where persistent contrails can form and persist [3].

MOTIVATION


- Contrail formation and climate impact are highly dependent on the atmospheric conditions at flight time and location.
- Aircraft trajectories can be optimized to reduce climate effects of aviation [1].
- This operational mitigation strategy is affected by strong daily variability [2].

Figure adapted from Fig. 6 in F. Castino et al., Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], 2023.




SIMULATIONS SETUP

- Resolution: T42L3 IECMWF (2.8° x 2.8°, 31 vertical levels)
- Time step: 12 minutes
- Time coverage: winter months from 2015 to 2019
- Air Traffic Sample: 103 routes over North Atlantic, A33x aircraft
- Optimization objectives:
 1. minimal Simple Operating Costs (SOC), defined as the weighted sum of fuel used and flight time (reference scenario);
 2. minimal contrail distance, defined as the distance flown through regions where contrails can form and persist.




RESULTS - POTENTIAL OF REDUCING CONTRAILS FORMATION





- The highest absolute reduction in contrail distance by changing optimization strategy is found under W3.
- The fuel used reaches a minimum with W1.
- Its standard deviation across different days almost doubles introducing contrail avoidance.
- The +7.7% change (average) in flight time can be mitigated selecting trade-off solutions using SolFinder [1, 2].

RESULTS - PROPERTIES OF OPTIMIZED TRAJECTORIES



- The lowest aerodynamic drag is found at higher altitudes.
- Minimal contrail distance is achieved flying lower and in a wider range of flight altitudes.
- Cost-optimal westwards flights tend to avoid the jet stream by flying at higher latitudes.
- To avoid contrails, lower latitudes may be selected.
- Eastwards flights show similar tendencies of flying at lower/higher latitudes under different weather types with both optimization strategies.

WEATHER PATTERN CLASSIFICATION



We classify winter weather patterns by their similarity to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the East Atlantic (EA) teleconnection patterns [5].

CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS

- The negative regime of the East Atlantic pattern (W3) results to be linked to higher mitigation potential through contrail avoidance.
- The decision making tool SolFinder will be employed to explore how the weather patterns affect trade-off solutions between aircraft trajectories optimization strategies minimizing economic cost and contrail distance, which reduce penalties in terms of fuel used and flight time.
- In the next step, the results presented here will be tested over different scenarios and winter days, comparing our reference scenario with actual air traffic, and using data generated from satellite observation and in-flight measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This research has received funding from the Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Actions programme under Grant Agreement No 101056885.



REFERENCES:

1. Yin, F., et al.: Impact on flight trajectory characteristics when avoiding the formation of persistent contrails for transatlantic flights, Transportation Research Part D, 65, 466–4, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.09.017>, 2018.
2. Castino, F., et al.: Decision-making strategies implemented in SolFinder 1.0 to identify eco-efficient aircraft trajectories: application study in AirTraf 3.0, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-88>, 2023.
3. Burkhardt, U., et al.: Contrail cirrus supporting areas in model and observations, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034056>, 2008.
4. Yamashita, H., et al.: Analysis of aircraft routing strategies for north atlantic flights by using airtraf 2.0, Aerospace, 8, 1–19, <https://doi.org/10.3390/aerospace8020033>, 2021.
5. Irvine, E. A., et al.: Characterizing North Atlantic weather patterns for climate-optimal aircraft routing, Meteorological Applications, 20(1): 80–93, <https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1291>, 2013.