

Impact of climate change on coffee agrosystems and potential of adaptation measures

Raniero Della Peruta¹, Valentina Mereu¹, Donatella Spano^{1,2,3}, Serena Marras^{1,2,3}, Antonio Trabucco^{1, 3} ¹ Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change CMCC, Lecce, Italy — ² Department of Sassari, Sassari, Italy — ³ National Biodiversity Future Center (NBFC), Palermo, Italy raniero.dellaperuta@cmcc.it

1. Background

- . Coffee is one of the most economically important agri-food systems . Our results indicate that crop yields are likely to decrease between 29% and globally, and is the main source of income for many rural house-35% in Latin America and between 16% and 21% in Africa, depending on the holds in several countries. scenario considered (Fig. 2).
- . Climate change may have negative impacts on coffee production, such as reducing yields and increasing instability from year to year.
- . To address these challenges, it's important to evaluate potential adaptation measures and agronomic practices.
- . Process-based models are well suited to study the effects of such modifications.

2. Materials and Methods

- . We used the biophysical model DynACof, developed specifically to simulate coffee agrosystems. We validated modelled yields with data available from previous studies in different countries.
- . We developed a tool called G-DynACof, to spatialize the model at continental scale using extensive climatic projections and soil geodatasets (Fig.1).
- . G-DynACof was used to simulate coffee yields in Latin America and Africa using an ensemble of statistically downscaled and biased corrected climate models for the period 1985-2014 and for 2036-2065 under two emission scenarios.
- . We tested the efficacy of increasing shade tree density on mitigating the negative effects of climate changes on coffee yield.



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the workflow within our tool G-DynACof.

3. Predicted yield decrease

. Spatialized simulations predict a strong negative impact on most areas, but still, some lower latitudes and higher elevation areas presented higher predicted yield compared to the baseline (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Normalized average annual potential yield, climate projections (2036-2065) vs. historical climate (1985-2014), where yield under historical climate is set to 100. Climate models: MPI=Max Plank Institute; IPSL =Institute Pierre Simon Laplace; GFDL = NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory. Shared Socioeconomic Pathways: SSP1-2.6 = Sustainability with low GHG emissions (expected radiative forcing of 2.6 W/m²); SSP5-8.5 = Fossil-fuelled Development with very high GHG emissions (expected radiative forcing of 8.5 W/m^2).

4. Effect of adaptation

measures

. In our simulations, enhanced agroforestry mitigated the negative impact of climate changes on 20% of Latin American production areas, reducing yield loss by 2 percent points on average, while on 74% of the area it further reduced yield (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Difference in coffee yield (percent points) between scenario "enhanced agroforestry" (50% increase of shade trees density) vs. baseline, under SSP1-2.6.

References & acknowledgements

Vezy, R., le Maire, G., Christina, M., Georgiou, S., Imbach, P., Hidalgo, H.G., Alfaro, E.J., Blitz-Frayret, C., Charbonnier, F., Lehner, P., Loustau, D., Roupsard, O., 2020. DynACof: A process-based model to study growth, yield and ecosystem services of coffee agroforestry systems. Environ. Model. Softw. 124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104609

We are grateful to researchers that made available field data to allow model validation: Marcel van Oijen; staff at CATIE (Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza, Costa Rica), in particular Elias De Melo; Antoine Libert; Mattia Guglielmi.



projection (2036-2065) vs. historical climate (1985-2014). Multi-model average for SSP1-2.6 (a, c) and SSP5-8.5 (b, d).

5. Conclusions

- ered emission scenarios.
- ca, possibly due to climatic conditions.
- ed to deforestation and loss of biodiversity.
- be sufficient to reverse the overall trend.



. Our simulations with the newly-developed tool G-DynACof predicted an overall coffee yield decrease by 2050 under all consid-

. Yield is predicted to decrease more in Latin America than in Afri-

. Areas at lower latitudes (e.g. Southern Brazil) and higher altitudes will be **less impacted**, and may present yield increase.

. **Production may shift** towards these areas, posing problems relat-

. Increasing shade trees density may counteract the negative impacts of climate change on some specific areas, but it would not