¹Professorship for Geothermal Technologies, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany ²Section 2.6: Seismic Hazard and Risk Dynamics, Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany ³Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany ***EF**fective Str**E**ss guided Drilling **C**ompletion **T**oolkit

Fundament I: Pore pressure in the Bavarian Molasse basin

A tool is only as useful as the material it works with. EFECT is built in parallel with the development of a quality-ranked database of pore pressure in the Bavarian Molasse basin.

The database contains 449 pore pressure measurements from 25 hydrocarbon and geothermal wells from 1955 to 2013. The database contains a variety of data types that allow to draw conclusions about the pore pressure. These include repeat formation testers, maximum mud weights, drillstem tests, productivity tests, shut-in drill pipe pressures, and kill mud weights. These pore pressure data are available through "scout reports" (BEA & TUM.GTT, 2024). A superset of the data, as well as the data's expressiveness for pore pressure prediction, is discussed by Shatyrbayeva *et al.* (2023).

A future goal is to provide the pore pressure database alongside the World Stress Map (Heidbach et al., 2016) infrastructure as a World Pressure Map, and consequently extend the database to a global scope. To this end, a unified pore pressure data quality ranking scheme is work in progress.



Regional pressure model

A view of all pressure data from the selected offset wells, plotted over true vertical depth. This graph can help to obtain a general overview of regional pore pressure trends with depth. Coloring of the data can be chosen to highlight the currently displayed well (here: Thm1) or to show the stratigraphic units of the data.

References

Drews, M. C.; Shatyrbayeva, I.; Bohnsack, D.; Duschl, F.; Obermeier, P.; Loewer, M.; of pore pressure and its prediction in deep geothermal energy drilling – examples from the North Alpine Foreland Basin, SE Germany. Petroleum Geoscience 28 (2). doi:10.1144/petgeo2021-060

Heidbach, O.; Rajabi, M.; Reiter, K.; World Stress Map Database Release 2016, V. 1.1, GFZ Data Services. doi:10.5880/WSM.2016.001

Shatyrbayeva, I.; Bohnsack, D.; Duschl, F.; Bavarian Environment Agency; - 副創唱 Ziegler, M.; WSM Team (2016): 印刷唱 Drews, M. C. (2023): Comparison and 副编唱 Technical University of Muintegration of pore pressure measurements and indicators from drilling data in a deep geothermal energy play in SE Germany. Geoenergy 1 (1). doi:10.1144/geoenergy2023-038

EFECT*: A tool for pore pressure projection and data management Malte Ziebarth^{1,2}, Michael Drews¹, Florian Duschl¹, Indira Shatyrbayeva¹, Oliver Heidbach², and Birgit Müller³



nich, Professorship of Geothermal Technologies (2024): Pore Pressure Scout Reports. https://www.lfu.bayern.de/geologie /kompakt/doc/ steckbriefe_kompakt.zip

Well and record table

Combines a compact view of the well attributes (identifier, location, tectonic setting, CRS) with a table that lists all data from the



Results: pore pressure prediction

This figure displays the pore pressure prediction results from the current offset well selection and stratigraphy mappings. Each data record is projected onto the selected range in the target well (here full mapped stratigraphic units). Point data map to lines while interval data map to trapezoids. An alternative prediction visualization based on a Bayesian interpretation of pore pressure-indicating data is work in progress. The prediction aims to help geothermal developers prevent pressure-related drilling problems, which may help improve operaation safety and reduce cost (Drews *et al.*, 2022).



input database for the currently selected well, that is, all the records and their numeric values from the current well.

Fundament II: Pore pressure prediction algorithms

EFECT is based on two geological models for pore pressure that lead to prediction equations, i.e. translation of the offset well pore pressure to the target well. Both models reflect geologic processes that define pore pressure within a crustal volume encompassing the offset and target well, e.g. a common stratigraphic unit.



One model assumes a hydraulic connection from the offset well to the target well. The pore pressure follows a common hydrostatic potential with a constant offset: the constant overpressure (OP).



The second model assumes that pore pressure follows the lithostatic stress with an offset, the constant vertical effective stress (VES). This model is appropriate if a formerly connected pore volume is disconnected during its geologic history, at which point pore pressure is frozen relative to the overburden.