EGU24-7520

Intensification of the Antarctic slope current due to freshwater forcing in a warmer climate

Myeong-Hyeon Kim^{1,2*}, Gyuseok Yi^{1,2}, June-Yi Lee^{1,2,3}, Axel Timmermann^{1,4}, Wonsun Park^{1,2}, Sun-Seon Lee^{1,4}

¹ Center for Climate Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Busan, Republic of Korea, ² Department of Climate System, Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea, ³ Research Center for Climate Sciences, Pusan National University, Busan, South Korea, ⁴ Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea

Research Questions

• How does the Antarctic slope current (ASC) respond to the global warming?

- How does the freshening in the future climate affect changes in the ASC?
- What factor plays a major role in the freshening?



Fig. 1 The spatial distributions of (A, B) temperature, (D, E) salinity, (G, H) potential density, and (J, K) zonal velocity of the PD and 4×CO₂ simulations from the CESM. The differences of each simulation are shown in panels (C, F, I, and L), respectively. The temperature, salinity, potential density, and zonal velocity of PD and 4×CO₂ conditions were averaged from the surface to 200 m depth.



Fig. 4 The spatial distributions of (A, B) precipitation minus evaporation (PME), (D, E) river runoff (ROFF), and (G, H) ice related factors (meltwater from sea ice plus ice runoff, ICE factor) from the Antarctica of PD and 4×CO₂ simulations from CESM. The differences of each simulation are shown in (C, F, and I), respectively. The ICE factor was calculated by subtracting the runoff and PME from freshwater flux. The contour lines indicate the 15 % ice concentration of each simulation.

Salinity contribution



Fig. 2 Contributions of (A, B) temperature and (D, E) salinity to (G, H) meridional gradient of potential density from PD and 4×CO₂ conditions. The differences of each simulation are shown in panels (C, F, and I), respectively. Thermal and haline contributions to the density were obtained from a linear equation of state of seawater. The pressure effect in the equation of state was ignored, and all parameters were averaged from the surface to 200 m depth.



Fig. 5 The changes of ICE factor, PME and ICE factor in the future simulation. Blue and pink colored bars indicate the PD and 4×CO₂ conditions, respectively. The values were calculated by averaging the values in the area one degree latitude away from the coastline.

- ☑ Increase in all the freshwater factors (ICE factor, PME, and ROFF) in the future simulation.
- \mathbf{V} The ASC velocity of PD and $4 \times CO_2$ simulations were -0.04 m/s and -0.08 m/s, respectively.
- It The ASC was strengthened as the freshwater flux increased.
- ✓ The change in the ICE factor (1.47 mm/day) was greater than the changes in PME (1.32 mm/day) and ROFF (0.34 mm/day).

Fig. 6 The spatial distributions of zonal wind stress (TAUX) of (A) PD and (B) 4×CO₂ simulations. The difference of each simulation is shown in (C). (D) The zonal mean of the zonal wind over the ocean region.



o Fully-coupled Community Earth System Model (CESM) version 1.2.2.

• Vertical resolution: 62 levels / Horizontal resolution: 10 km and 25 km resolutions for the atmosphere and ocean components, respectively. • Present-day (PD) control simulation (367 ppm) with two ideal simulations with 2×CO2 (734 ppm) and 4×CO2 (1468 ppm).

Wind forcing



Conclusions

- In the Antarctic ocean, there was a decrease of salinity and intensification of the ASC in the $4 \times CO_2$ simulation compared to the PD simulation (Fig. 1).
- The freshening in a warmer climate can increase the SSH gradient and strengthen the ASC through geostrophic balance (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).
- The freshwater from ice had more impact on the change of the ASC than the precipitation minus evaporation and runoff (Fig. 5).
- It is known that poleward wind shift in the future can reduce the Ekman pumping near the Antarctica, which can induce weakening of the ASC as the gradient of isopycnal decreases, but there was no relationship between wind and ASC changes in the CESM (Fig. 6).

References

- Reviews of Geophysics, 56(4), 741-770.
- 4601-4610.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Republic of Korea, under IBS-R028-D1. The simulations were conducted on the IBS/ICCP supercomputer "Aleph," 1.43 peta flops high-performance Cray XC50-LC Skylake computing system with 18,720 processor cores, 9.59 PB storage, and 43 PB tape archive space. We also acknowledge the support of KREONET.



It The change of easterlies near the Antarctica was not significant.





IBS Center for Climate Physics

✓ Freshening → Increase of SSH gradient and potential density change → Intensification

conditions. Vertical structure of the zonal geostrophic velocity at 1000 m isobath from (D) PD and (E) 4×CO₂ conditions. Difference of zonal geostrophic velocity from surface to 200 m depth of each simulation is shown in panel (F). Dotted line in panel (F) indicates the 1000 m isobath. The geostrophic velocity was calculated with the potential density and SSH of the CESM.

> • Goddard, P. B., Dufour, C. O., Yin, J., Griffies, S. M., & Winton, M. (2017). CO2-induced ocean warming of the Antarctic continental shelf in an eddying global climate model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122(10), 8079-8101. • Thompson, A. F., Stewart, A. L., spence, P., & Heywood, K. J. (2018). The Antarctic slope current in a changing climate.

> • Spence, P., Griffies, S. M., England, M. H., Hogg, A. M., Saenko, O. A., & Jourdain, N. C. (2014). Rapid subsurface warming and circulation changes of Antarctic coastal waters by poleward shifting winds. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(13),