# Long-term field measurements of OH reactivity using laser flash photolysis coupled with time-resolved broadband UV absorption spectroscopy

#### **1. Introduction**

- Volatile organic compounds (**VOCs**), emitted from both anthropogenic and biogenic sources, have complex impacts on air quality and climate
- There is an estimated **100,000 VOCs** found in ambient air, and they cannot all be measured using traditional direct techniques [1]
- **OH reactivity**  $(k_{OH})$  is a pseudo-first order rate coefficient that quantifies the total reactive pollutant loading in an air mass, and can be used to determine the total impact of VOCs

 $k_{\text{OH}} = k_{\text{CO+OH}}[\text{CO}] + k_{\text{NO+OH}}[\text{NO}] + k_{\text{NO}_2+\text{OH}}[\text{NO}_2] + k_{\text{VOC+OH}}[\text{VOC}] + \dots = \sum_{i} k_{xi}[x]_i$ 

- All techniques used by OH reactivity instruments involve producing above ambient OH concentrations and determining the **OH decay kinetics**
- Current instruments designed for measuring OH reactivity are often technically **complex** and expensive [2] or unsuitable for use in urban environments owing to interferences at high **NO** [3]
- In this work, a new instrument based on the laser flash pump-probe technique [4][5] is compared to a pump-probe instrument utilising Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) for OH detection, and measurements from the ongoing Birmingham field campaign are presented.

# 2. The Novel UV Spectroscopy OH Reactivity Instrument

- A novel OH reactivity instrument has been developed utilising broadband time-resolved UV absorption spectroscopy as a detection technique
- The instrument produces OH by photolysing O<sub>3</sub> with a **266 nm Nd:YAG laser** in the presence of water vapour
- A probe beam at ~308 nm, produced by a UV LED, is aligned through the reactor and the absorption of light monitored by an **integrated spectrometer** and **charge coupled device** camera



• The instrument has a [OH] LOD of 5×10<sup>10</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> and a k<sub>OH</sub> LOD of 1 s<sup>-1</sup>, with an averaging time of 600 s

<sup>1</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup> Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, University of York, York, United Kingdom <sup>4</sup> National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

#### 3. Leeds Intercomparison

- The new UV instrument was compared to another pump-probe instrument that uses LIF spectroscopy [5]
- The LIF instrument has previously undergone rigorous testing and **intercomparison** against other  $k_{OH}$  field instruments [3]
- Both instruments sampled ambient air from the same courtyard at the University of Leeds, UK, in October 2024
- This intercomparison showed **excellent agreement**

## 4. Birmingham Reactivity

- The UV instrument has been measuring ambient air at the Birmingham Air Quality Supersite, UK, since November 2024. The Supersite contains instruments to measure  $NO_x$ , VOCs, CO,  $O_3$  and aerosols alongside meteorological measurements
- Measurements so far have indicated a **mean** reactivity of 8.5 s<sup>-1</sup> and a maximum reactivity of 145 s<sup>-1</sup>
- The diurnal profile shows a '**rush hour'** peak, and another peak later in the day, which is thought to be caused by a **boundary layer drop**
- Wind direction indicates higher reactivity from air passing over Birmingham city centre and over major roads



- modelled reactivity

### 5. Conclusions and Further Work

- The novel UV absorption spectroscopy OH reactivity instrument compares well with a wellcharacterised LIF spectroscopy pump-probe instrument
- The instrument has been operational at the Birmingham Air Quality Supersite for over 5 months • Measurements at the site will continue in order to determine seasonal trends in  $k_{OH}$
- Further work on determining trends in missing reactivity will be conducted using MCM box modelling







#### References

[1]:Goldstein and Galbally, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1514– 1521, 2007

[2]: Williams and Brune, Atmos. Env., 106, 371-372 [3]:Fuchs et al., Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 4023-4053 (2017) [4]:Sadanaga et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 75, 2648–2655 (2004) [5]: Stone et al., Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 2827-2844 (2016) [6]: Dorn et al., J. Geophys. Rs., 100(D4), 7397-7409 (1995)