# **TRANSCENDENCE** A Transit Capture Engine for Detection and Neural Network Characterization of Exoplanets

Hendrik Schmerling & Rok Hribar, Sascha Grziwa, Martin Pätzold

April 30, 2025

#### Transit Detection

# Transit Light Curves



Figure: Examples of known transit LCs with different characteristics

#### Stellar variability and instrumental noise in transit/occultation/phase curves



Figure: Different kinds of noise seen in stellar brightness LCs

Hendrik Schmerling & Rok Hribar

TRANSCENDENCE

▶ 4 3 April 30, 2025

▶ ∢ ⊒

5 / 25

#### Classical Transit Detection

- Typically, transit detection makes use of phase folding together with Box Least Square (BLS) algorithms
- Works well for large, short period planets but detection gets worse for smaller planets and longer periods
- Needs repeated transits for successful detections
- $\circ\,$  Computation typically scales roughly with  $O(N^2)$  making long light curves especially challenging



Figure: Concept of phase folding and BLS Source: Kovács et al (2002)

April 30, 2025

- Self-learning algorithms trained on labeled datasets
- Extract key features from input data
- Optimize detection accuracy through training



Figure: Concept of Convolutional Neural Networks
(CNN)
source: https://medium.com/@yuyunchang/
convolutional-neural-networks-cnn-a-dummy-

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

э

 $\circ~$  Full LC files from TESS space telescope data products

https:

//archive.stsci.edu/tess/bulk\_downloads/bulk\_downloads\_ffi-tp-lc-dv.html

- Catalog data stored in the used TESS files and from the gaia catalog https://gea.esac.esa.int/archive/
- $\circ\,$  Synthetic transits generated with the Python package for fast calculation of exoplanet transit LCs called "batman"

https://lkreidberg.github.io/batman/docs/html/index.html

#### Mathematical Description of Transit Events

• The Flux at the detector can be expressed as

$$F(a, R_{\mathrm{P}}) = 1 - \lambda(a, R_{\mathrm{P}})$$

- where  $F(a, R_P)$  is the flux that reaches the telescope and  $\lambda(a, R_P)$  is the portion of the flux that is blocked by the planet
- $\circ \lambda$  itself depends on several parameters.  $\Delta F$  is proportional to  $R_{\rm P}/R_{\star}$  and the transit time  $T_f$  depends on the orbital period  $P_{\rm orb}$



Figure: Theoretical transit model without limb darkening. Source:

https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms13020083

#### Mathematical Description of Transit Events

- The shapes of transits can be mathematically described in various complexities.
- The more complex ones take into account more complex geometry and stellar limb darkening (LD)
- $\circ \ \ \mathsf{Box} \to \mathsf{Trapezoid} \to \mathsf{linear} \ \mathsf{LD} \to \mathsf{quadratic} \to \mathsf{more}$



Figure: Different mathematical representations of transits from batman (Kreidberg et al. (2015))

## Data Generation – LC - Transit Merger

- Stellar parameters are drawn from TIC or gaia and planetary parameters are selected randomly
- Combining full lightcurves from the TESS archive with synthetic transits generated with batman for half of the lightcurves to create a balanced dataset
- We used the quadratic limb darkening formula and assigned the limb darkening coefficients from Claret et al (1990)
- $\circ~$  Finally  $\sim$  450 000 (30 TESS sectors) comprise the whole dataset



Figure: Insertion of artificial transits to TESS LCs.

Parameter	Parameter limits
Orbital period $P_{\rm orb}$ (d)	$\mathcal{I}[0.5, 60]$
Planet radius $R_{ m P} \left( { m R}_{\oplus}  ight)$	$\mathcal{I}[0.5, 50]$
Radius ratio $R_{\rm P}/R_{\star}$	(0, 0.1]
Eccentricity e	$ \mathcal{G}[0, 0.05] $
Inclination <i>i</i> (deg)	$\mathcal{U}[i_{\min}, 90]$

Table: Parameter priors for data generation  $\mathcal{U}[a, b]$  stands for uniform priors in between a and b,  $\mathcal{G}[a, b]$  marks Gaussian priors with a as the mean and b as the standard deviation,  $\mathcal{I}$  stands for individually assigned limits



Figure: A priori distribution of planet in the generated dataset

### Model Performance - Detection



 Figure: Binary classification performance dependent on training time
 Image: Classification performance dependent on training time
 <thImage: Classification performance dependent on training time</th>

#### Model Performance - Classification

#### Relative Differences Between Predicted and True Planetary Parameters Grouped by Real Radius Bin



Figure: The classification accuracy for various planetary and orbital parameters

Hendrik Schmerling & Rok Hribar

TRANSCENDENCE

April 30, 2025

20 / 25

э

#### Classification with Logistic Regression



Hendrik Schmerling & Rok Hribar

TRANSCENDENCE

April 30, 2025

25 / 25

### Assigned Number of Logistic Regression Compared to "Best" Classification



Figure: Logistic regression output

Hendrik	Schmer	ling &	Rok	Hribar
---------	--------	--------	-----	--------

TRANSCENDENCE

April 30, 2025

25 / 25

э

#### Problem with Monotransits

