

Geophysical Characteristics of Breakup Magmatism in the Southern South China Sea Margin

Kuan-Hung Chen¹, Sung-Ping Chang¹, Hsien-Hsiang Hsieh², Arif Mirza², Jih-Hsin Chang³, Ho-Han Hsu^{2,3}, Manuel Pubellier⁴, Matthias Delescluse⁴ 1 Department of Earth Sciences, National Cheng Kung University. 2 Ocean Center, National Taiwan University. 3 Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University 4 Ecole Normale Superieure, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR 8538, Laboratoire de géologie, France

ABSTRACT The South China Sea Margin is a good natural laboratory featuring polyphase rifting processes that began in the late Eocene and ended late Miocene. The breakup first occurred in the East Sub-basin, and the expansion direction shifted from a north-south orientation to a northwest-southeast orientation around 23 Ma, with the propagation of new oceanic crust forming the Southwest Sub-basin. The involvement of magmatic activity is still not fully understood, nor is its influence during the breakup process of the Southwest Sub-basin. This study investigates the crustal structure and the magmatic activity by integrating multichannel seismic (MCS) profiles and shipborne gravity around Taiping Island (Spratly Islands). Gravity simulation results reveal the presence of a high-density igneous body in the continent-ocean transition (COT) east of Taiping Island (Spratly Islands). Areas with under-estimated gravity values in the model also imply that a high-density lower crust may exist. Therefore, this study suggests distinct magmatic intrusions within the South China Sea crust during the spreading of the southwest **10°N** sub-basin. The formation of these high-density bodies may provide more insights to discover magmatism involving the ridge propagation process.

Figure 1. Elevation with rifted basins. The bathymetric map has a non-linear color bar to assist in highlighting certain features. The white dashed lines is Zhongnan-Liyue Fault (Fang et al., 2023). The continent-ocean transition (COT) distribution is shown in the yellow band (Song et al., 2019). The gray solid lines indicate the mid-ocean ridge locations of the ESB and SWSB (Briais et al., 1993).



Figure 2. (A) Free air anomaly map from WGM2012 (Bonvalot et al., 2012) in Spratly Islands (SI) in the southwestern SCS. The black solid lines represent the location of seismic profiles. The red diamonds represent the location of the dredge samples from seafloor in the Spratly Islands and the Reed Bank area including 1, Granite, 127 Ma; 2, Schist, 113 Ma; 3, Amphibolite, 146 Ma; 4, Tonalite, 158 Ma; 4 Monzogranite, 128 Ma; 6, Paragneiss, 115 Ma; 7, Gabbro, 176 Ma (Kudrass et al., 1985; Xiao et al., 2019; ellipse). The simulated value is about 20~45 mGal higher Yan et al., 2010) (B) Total Field Magnetic anomalies map. The magnetic map is compiled by Geological than the observed value in four survey lines near the COT. Survey of Japan and CCOP (2021), showing the ages of oceanic crust identified by Briais et al (1993).





► Figure 4. The seismic profiles (upper part) and 5. corresponding gravity models (lower part) of five surveys. The Time-Depth conversion of the sedimentary layers is based on an empirical formula derived from well logs in the Dangerous Ground area (Luo et al., 2021).

The simulated values and observed values generally show a 40 similar trend. However, in the areas where the crustal thickness is higher, the simulated values are 20~50 mGal lower than the observed values. This may indicate a highdensity body beneath the continental crust (red dotted $\frac{-20}{mGal}$ This suggests that the density of the crust or the lithospheric $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ km \end{bmatrix}$ Seawater 1.03 g/cm³ mantle in this area should be lower than usual, possibly due to faults and fractures formed during the syn-rift phase or the $\left[\right]_{10}$ result of water-rock interactions.

(E) Figure shows different gravity simulation results of seismic Moho (assume the velocity 6 km/s of crust) and 20inverted Moho. The RMS error of the gravity simulation based on the seismic Moho is slightly lower than that of the inverted Moho, indicating that the Moho depth derived from gravity simulation still contains errors or may vary due to heterogeneity in the regional crust.

(F) Figure shows the gravity simulation results of setting different densities for the high-density layer. The results show that the RMS error is lower for models with higher density, which is consistent with that of oceanic crust.

