

A Comparative Study of Earthworm Density and Diversity in Grasslands and Arable Lands

UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE IN KRAKOW







Arable_1



This organically managed field grows vegetables under a no-till regime (1-2 soil treatments/year), fertilising with poultry manure, and no irrigation. The weed control is manual, there is no chemical crop protection, and the field employs a 5-month fallow per year, with active cultivation during the remaining 4 months.

Arable_2



This organic farm produces vegetables and legumes, using a frequent crop rotation (<2 years) with up to four main crops per year. The management includes intensive fertilisation with poultry manure, liquid fertilisers, slurry, and plant compost, regular irrigation (2–3 times/week), and deep ploughing (to 20 cm), with weed control mainly by hand and a short (2 months/year) black fallow.







The organically managed site grows predominantly mixed vegetable crops in a 3–5 years crop rotation, using horse and poultry manure, green manure, compost, and lime, with mechanical and manual weed control. Ploughing to 20 cm is performed 1–2 times a year, with cultivation for 6 months annually and rare irrigation as needed.

Arable_4

Arable_3



Here, organic mixed crops and legumes rotate every two years or less; the field is managed with minimal tillage (up to 130 cm depth), using compost, lime, and poultry manure as fertilisers. Weed control is mostly manual, straw mulch/intercrops are used, and the field spends 5 months fallow and is cultivated for 6 months yearly.



- Five soil blocks measuring 20 × 20 cm and 25 cm deep in each study area were collected, and earthworms from these samples were extracted using the hand-sorting method.
- The collected earthworms were washed and classified using an identification key according to their maturity stage, ecological categories, and species.
- Soil samples (100 g from each block) were combined, mixed, dried, and analyzed for properties such as pH, carbon and nitrogen content, texture, and aggregate stability.









Aims or Research goals

The study aimed to compare the density and species diversity of earthworms in arable soils and grasslands and evaluate the stability of soil aggregates in these environments. In addition, we assessed the relationship between land use, soil structure, and earthworms.







Nikola Szlachta, Piotr Pacanowski, Agnieszka Józefowska

Department of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Institute of Soil Science and Agrophysics University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland e-mail: <u>szlachtan01@gmail.com</u>

Grasslands and arable lands differ in their use – grasslands are primarily used as grazing areas or sources of forage while arable lands are designated for intensive crop production, often requiring cultivation practices and fertilization. Earthworms have a crucial role in both ecosystems by influencing soil structure, enhancing aeration processes, and contributing to the decomposition of organic matter.

This grassland under regular mowing and trampling for more than 20 years, receives mineral fertilisation and no herbicide application.



A long-term (>20 years) permanent grassland cut 2-4 times a year, never trampled or fertilised, nor subject to weed control, and with no agricultural use since 2000.



Used as grassland for 10–20 years, this site is mowed just once a year, trampled rarely, and sees no fertilisation or weed control.



A long-established grassland (>20 years) is mown 2–4 times annually and heavily trampled, with mineral fertilisation but no weed control.







Results

Texture of investigated soils



Basic soil properties of investigated soils

| Site | pH KCl | С% | N% | C:N ratio | S% | P₂O₅ av | Mg av | K ₂ O av | WRI (Water Resistance index) |
|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Arable_1 | 4,2 | 1,410 | 0,101 | 14,0 | 0,012 | 5,23 | 12,17 | 16,03 | 0,60 |
| Arable_2 | 4,9 | 1,640 | 0,122 | 13,4 | 0,012 | 16,59 | 25,60 | 12,12 | 0,58 |
| Arable_3 | 6,5 | 1,060 | 0,068 | 15,6 | 0,006 | 16,36 | 29,28 | 15,39 | 0,57 |
| Arable_4 | 6,4 | 1,350 | 0,096 | 14,1 | 0,010 | 9,83 | 21,45 | 15,12 | 0,58 |
| Grassland_1 | 3,8 | 2,110 | 0,195 | 10,8 | 0,027 | 0,97 | 29,00 | 7,56 | 0,59 |
| Grassland_2 | 4,2 | 1,510 | 0,112 | 13,5 | 0,013 | 1,12 | 19,99 | 8,37 | 0,58 |
| Grassland_3 | 5,4 | 1,590 | 0,117 | 13,6 | 0,014 | 1,27 | 20,92 | 14,86 | 0,59 |
| Grassland_4 | 3,9 | 1,710 | 0,134 | 12,8 | 0,012 | 23,38 | 37,29 | 5,98 | 0,59 |
| Arable land | 5,5 | 1,37 | 0,10 | 14,3 | 0,01 | 12,0 | 22,1 | 14,7 | 0,58 |
| Grassland | 4,3 | 1,73 | 0,14 | 12,7 | 0,02 | 6,7 | 26,8 | 9,2 | 0,58 |



The PCA captured most data variability with the first two axes (Axis 1: 78.9%) explained variance; Axis 2: 14.2%), showing a separation among site types based on chemical and biological soil properties and confirm strong corellation between Earthworms presence and carbon (C), nitrogne (N) and water resistance index (WRI).

and when a for a f

This research was funded through the 2022-2023 Biodiversa+ COFUND call, under the European Biodiversity Partnership programme, and with the funding organisations: National Science Centre 2023/05/Y/ST10/00098

| | Percentage of: | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Site | Sand (2.0-0.05 | Silt (0.05- 0.002 | clay <0.002 | | | |
| | mm) | mm) | mm | | | |
| Arable_1 | 8 | 83 | 9 | | | |
| Arable_2 | 23 | 66 | 10 | | | |
| Arable_3 | 15 | 75 | 11 | | | |
| Arable_4 | 10 | 80 | 10 | | | |
| Grassland_1 | 15 | 74 | 11 | | | |
| Grassland_2 | 33 | 60 | 7 | | | |
| Grassland_3 | 24 | 68 | 7 | | | |
| Grassland_4 | 17 | 73 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | | |





Earthworm species in investigated soils

| Site | Aporrectodea caliginosa | A.rosea | Lumbricus castaneus | L. rubellus | Octolasion lacteum | Allolobophora chlorotica | Dendrobaena octaedra | Index Shanona |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Arable_1 | + | + | | + | | | | 0,849 |
| Arable_2 | | + | + | + | | + | | 1,116 |
| Arable_3 | + | | | | | | | ~0,000 |
| Arable_4 | + | + | + | | | | + | 1,162 |
| Grassland_1 | + | + | + | + | | | | 1,083 |
| Grassland_2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | | 1,409 |
| Grassland_3 | + | + | + | | + | | + | 1,085 |
| Grassland_4 | + | + | + | | | | | 0,900 |

Summary

Broch

Grassland soils, with minimal disturbance and higher organic inputs from plant residues, consistently demonstrated higher organic carbon, nitrogen, and earthworm populations than the arable sites. Results from four sampling plots per land-use type indicate that grasslands support a higher average earthworm density (133 ind./m²) compared to arable land (81 ind./m²). Similarly, mean earthworm biomass was greater in grasslands (46.8 g/m²) than in arable plots (29.5 g/m²), highlighting the impact of land use on soil fauna communities. Arable fields had higher soil pH and, except for Mg, showed higher concentrations of plant-available P and K, reflecting intensive fertilisation and management. These trends align with well-established patterns of greater biological and organic matter accumulation in grasslands, versus the nutrient enrichment but lower biotic activity typical of cultivated soils.







Monitoring of Soilbiota by Citizen Science





@SoilRise PL www.soilrise.eu

