

## Forced changes and internal variability in projections of European Storminess

**Matthew Priestley** 

David Stephenson, Adam Scaife, Daniel Bannister Christopher Allen, David Wilkie



Little et al. (2023) NEONIMS

# Variabil

• Trends in impactful wighting rms significant natural variation decadal)

• Studies commonly find increases in aggregate windstorm severity for western Europe

🧞 ent:

- Future change esumate are le
  - Wind gust footprint:
  - Do not link wind ev
  - •Often rely on time-slice
  - •Use only a single clir
  - •Only analyse single st

• Different aggregation regions



Severino et al. (2023) NHESS

#### What drives storm severity?



Aggregate Windstorm Severity

#### What drives storm severity?





# **Creating Footprints**

•Use data from the CMIP6 experiments: historical, SSP2-45, SSP5-85

- •Identify cyclones passing over Europe (10°W-30°E, 35°N-70°N)
  - Footprint is maximum gust at each grid point in 72-hour period
- •Use SSI to quantify aggregate and average severity





# Trends of WEU aggregate severity



- •Timeseries of aggregate severity from 1980-2100
- Generalized Linear Model fitted to estimate how distribution is changing
- •Model trend = 93% per century
- •Combine all model projections using weighted median approach



#### Estimates of trends per century

- Increase in storminess for GB/IE and WEU
- Decreases for southern and northern Europe
- Central and eastern European changes demonstrate more uncertainty
- Increase in area of footprints for WEU and GB/IE
- Number of windstorms is decreasing across most regions, yet very uncertain



#### Sources of Variability



- Different trend estimates between climate models and ensemble members can influence the confidence in our trend estimates
- •12 CMIP6 models, each with 1 ensemble member
- •15 members of the MPI-ESM1-2-LR model
- Both with SSP5-8.5 forcing
- Compare how the variability from both groups affects estimates of the forced trends
- •If internal variability is small, all members will have similar trends and uncertainty on forced signal will be low

#### Sources of Variability

 Re-sample and bootstrap the two model ensembles to understand how forced trend varies

Internal variability generally
2-3 times smaller than model variability for aggregate severity for regions that have highest impacts



# Variability in individual ensemble member trends

- Forced trend variability is small, however individual members can differ greatly
- Variance of member trends >40% for most of Europe in severity
- Hatching indicates where variance greater than median member trend
- Individual realisations can vary significantly



 $\sigma$  (%)

80

100

20



#### **Summary**

- Using CMIP6 data we have constructed windstorm footprints across multiple scenarios out to 2100
- Examined changes in storm severity and frequency using Generalized Linear Models
  - S. Europe decline in storm numbers & seasonal aggregated SSI
  - W and central Europe unclear counts uncertain, but average severity likely to increase
- Changes in *area* are the key driver of changes to average storm severity
- Internal variability is large with different model realisations able to simulate very different trends, but smaller than model variability

m.priestley@exeter.ac.uk







### Trends of WEU aggregate severity

- •Timeseries of aggregate severity from 1980-2100
- 12 CMIP6 models with SSP5-85 forcing
- •All models have different trends (% per century)
- Based on the trend estimate a weighting is assigned to each model
- •Weighted median calculated
- •Forced trend of +34% (±25%)



### **Confidence Statements**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Increases in storm aggregate and average severity for W. Europe
- Decreases in all windstorm metrics for southern Europe (MED,IB)
- Decreases in windstorm frequency for northern, eastern, and southern Europe (SC, MED, IB, EEU)

#### Medium

High

- Increases in aggregate and average storm severity and footprint area for GB/IE and C. Europe
- Decreases in average storm severity for northern Europe (SC)

#### Low

- Decreases in aggregate and average storm intensity for southern and eastern Europe (MED, EEU)
- Decreases in storm frequency or changes to footprint area for NW Europe (GB/IE, WEU, CEU)

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### Changes per degree warming

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Climate models do not all evolve similarly in future projections
- Often the same forcing, will have different temperature responses
- Changes in extreme weather are often proportional to this temperature change

Plus this allows us to compare climate simulations from different scenarios

Combines SSP2-45 and SSP5-85 models

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Best estimate of trends normalised by warming

- Trend pattern is consistent when changes normalized to change per °C warming
- Increase in severity for WEU the only highly confident increase
- Previous increase in frequency for WEU now a decrease, but still highly uncertain
- Increases of 10-15% per degC in aggregate severity for WEU/CEU

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Spread in ensemble member trends

2040 2060

Year

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

MPI-ESM1-2-LR\_r13i1p1f1

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

Year

2060

![](_page_16_Figure_12.jpeg)

Year

![](_page_16_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_14.jpeg)

### Sources of Variability

- Re-sample and bootstrap the two model ensembles to understand how forced trend varies
- Internal variability 2-3 times smaller than model variability for aggregate severity
- For windstorm frequency internal variability many times smaller than model variability for WEU, GB/IE, CEU

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Uncertainty in changes per century

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

Region	L	$\overline{L}$	n	$\overline{A}$
SC	$-33.0 \pm 23.7$	$-13.4 \pm 21.4$	$-9.6 \pm 5.0$	$-9.2 \pm 6.7$
GB/IE	$31.3 \pm 27.7$	$21.7\pm25.2$	$-0.8 \pm 6.8$	$0.4 \pm 5.4$
WEU	$33.6 \pm 24.8$	$27.2 \pm 23.1$	$1.5 \pm 6.1$	4.7 ± 5.6
CEU	$12.3\pm26.5$	$15.3 \pm 24.8$	$-2.6\pm6.6$	$2.0 \pm 6.4$
EEU	$13.1 \pm 22.0$	$13.8 \pm 20.4$	$-12.0 \pm 5.4$	0.7 ± 5.9
IB	$-25.3\pm30.9$	$-4.9 \pm 30.5$	$-7.2 \pm 6.6$	$-9.3 \pm 7.0$
MED	$-8.5 \pm 21.0$	0.5 ± 19.9	$-12.4 \pm 5.5$	$-0.7 \pm 5.4$
EU	$-6.0 \pm 13.7$	$13.1 \pm 13.2$	$-8.4 \pm 3.9$	$-3.6 \pm 4.9$

#### Uncertainty in changes per °C

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

Region	L	$\overline{L}$	n	Ā
SC	$-6.0 \pm 5.2$	$-5.9 \pm 4.7$	$-3.7 \pm 1.2$	$-3.3 \pm 1.5$
GB/IE	$1.1 \pm 6.2$	$2.9 \pm 5.7$	$-1.4 \pm 1.6$	$-0.1 \pm 1.3$
WEU	$14.2 \pm 5.6$	$8.7 \pm 5.2$	$-0.7 \pm 1.4$	$0.3 \pm 1.3$
CEU	$11.3 \pm 6.0$	$6.9 \pm 5.6$	$-3.6 \pm 1.5$	$1.3 \pm 1.5$
EEU	$0.0 \pm 4.9$	$1.5 \pm 4.6$	$-2.1 \pm 1.3$	$-1.3 \pm 1.4$
IB	$-12.1 \pm 6.8$	$-2.1 \pm 6.7$	$-2.5 \pm 1.6$	$-1.9 \pm 1.6$
MED	$-3.0 \pm 4.7$	$-1.4 \pm 4.4$	$-3.7 \pm 1.3$	$-0.8 \pm 1.3$
EU	$-3.4 \pm 3.1$	$1.0 \pm 3.0$	$-2.8 \pm 0.9$	$-0.9 \pm 1.1$

#### SSI Threshold

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Threshold is 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of surface daily maximum wind and model dependent
- Threshold ranges from 20-30 m/s across Europe for ERA5 some models have large biases
- Highest values in NW of domain

#### **Gust Scaling Factors**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Wind and gust quantiles for all regions from ERA5 footprints
- Each region has a scaling factor of ~2.
- CMIP6 winds are scaled by factor of its region, to provide a gust value used in SSI calculations

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)