Optimizing the approach required to accurately predict seasonal water use variation in a *Eucalyptus Globulus* plantation: A Case Study from the Lower Limestone Coast of South Australia




Introduction

Increasing demand for water resources makes quantification of agricultural and industrial water use essential for sustainable water management. This research was focused in the South East of South Australia where groundwater is shared between plantation growers, other agricultural users and natural ecosystems. The extraction of the groundwater resource is licensed by local authorities and water has an associated cost. This study forms part of a broader investigation to accurately quantify plantation water use.

This study investigated the feasibility of instrumenting field sites with fewer sap flow sensors to increase total site monitoring capacity. Research has not yet established the optimal number and arrangement of sap flow sensors required for accurate estimation of sap velocity to estimate evapotranspiration of a forest stand. Field sites of approximately 20 m x 20 m have been used with at least six sap flow sensors in the region to estimate plantation water use characteristics. This study sought to establish whether field sites utilizing three sap flow sensors was feasible to estimate sap flow velocity of a *Eucalyptus globulus* plantation forest over a 10-month period. It also sought to determine whether a wholly random selection of trees was appropriate, or whether the average water use was influenced by tree size.

Study Area


The South East Unconfined Aquifer Management Areas and Zones are in the South Eastern region of South Australia, Australia. This study was undertaken in the Lower Limestone Coast Prescribed Wells Area of South Australia where plantation forests are known to access groundwater. It is a regional-scale resource for which groundwater is prescribed under South Australia's Natural Resources Management Act 2004 and a water allocation plan provides for their sustainable management.



Study Area Map with Selected Plantation Sites

Pasindu Karunatilaka¹, Baden Myers¹, Beng P. Umali¹, Guna A. Hewa¹, Jim O'Hehir²

¹UniSA STEM, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes, SA 5095, Australia ²Forestry Centre of Excellence, University of South Australia, Mount Gambier, SA 5290, Australia



Methodology

A monitoring plot of 20 m x 20 m was established. A census of tree diameters at breast height (DBH, measured at 1.3 m above ground level, over bark) was conducted as an indicator of tree size, and the plot was subsequently categorized into three DBH size classes, namely, low (L); Medium (M); and High (H). Within each size class, two sample trees were selected at random and a total of six sap flow sensors were instrumented. A total of 20 tree combinations, involving the sub-selection of 3 sensors out of a possible 6, were analyzed for a selected month during the autumn, winter, and spring seasons.

Discussion

The average sap velocity was characterised across three seasons with all sap flow combinations. Sap velocity was greatest during spring and lowest during winter, as expected. The average sap velocity increased progressively from LLM (combinations with the smallest DBH trees) to MHH (those with the largest). Larger tree combinations (MMH, HHL, HMM) generally exhibited higher average monthly sap velocities. When examined to determine total water use, this resulted in an over-estimation of stand evapotranspiration. In contrast, smaller tree combinations (LLM, LLH, LMM) tended to produce lower sap velocities, potentially leading to underestimation.

Selecting an 'average' combination (LMH) appeared to be the most representative approach to measure the average monthly sap velocity using three sensors. This combination produced the same average monthly sap velocity as that based on using six sensors. This suggested that the use of three sap flow sensors can reliably estimate sap velocity on a spatial and temporal basis within a study plot, and also emphasised the need to consider variables like DBH when selecting trees in any monitoring study. Further research will be undertaken to verify the findings at other locations.

Industry Partners

ICT International Pty Ltd **OneFortyOne Plantations** Australian Bluegum Plantations PF Olsen Australia Pty Ltd

Contact for further information:





University of South Australia

Pasindu Karunatilaka

PhD Candidate at University of South Australia UniSA STEM

Email: pasindu.karunatilaka@mymail.unisa.edu

Phone: +61422578550



