

Molecular dynamics simulations as probes of the decomposition kinetics of atmospheric molecular complexes: A case study of nitrate chemical ionization









Christopher David Daub, Theo Kurtén and Matti Rissanen

Tampere University and University of Helsinki



- As a bridge between working for Theo and working for Matti, we tried to find a nice MD project of interest.
- We thought it would be worth doing MD on a straightforward system amenable to empirical force-field-based methods, that is, nitrate ion complexes with various atmospheric molecules. These are a key component of the nitrate-CIMS instruments Matti's group uses (and many others!).

- We use force fields based on the OPLS framework, with several modifications (eg. increased partial charges on the nitrate ion).
- The empirical force field matches quantum chemistry for binding energy within 2-3 kcal/mol and the cluster minima mostly look similar to the DFT-optimized minima. Good enough!
- Systems studied are shown here, they include 3 related diphenols, nitrophenol and also HNO₃ · NO₃⁻ since this cluster is an important species in the ionization region of nitrate CIMS.



-27.3 kcal/mol



-20.3 kcal/mo

-29.4 kcal/mol

1) Cluster decomposition in vacuo:

- Start from global minimum optimized structure for each cluster.
- Run ~1000 simulations at each different initial T
- Compute survival probability P(t) for the cluster to stay together. Then eg. -dP/dt is a rate for cluster decomposition (or do more involved modelling).

1) Cluster decomposition in vacuo:

- Start from global minimum optimized structure for each cluster.
- Run ~1000 simulations at each different initial T
- Compute survival probability P(t) for the cluster to stay together. Then eg. -dP/dt is a rate for cluster decomposition (or do more involved modelling).

1a) Add some gas:

- Put each cluster in N_2 gas with different pressures
- Again compute P(t), compare with vacuum
- Does the gas influence the decomposition?

1) Cluster decomposition in vacuo:

- Start from global minimum optimized structure for each cluster.
- Run ~1000 simulations at each different initial T
- Compute survival probability P(t) for the cluster to stay together. Then eg. -dP/dt is a rate for cluster decomposition (or do more involved modelling).

1a) Add some gas:

- Put each cluster in N_2 gas with different pressures
- Again compute P(t), compare with vacuum
- Does the gas influence the decomposition?

2) Add Electric field:

- Electric field accelerates the charged cluster through the gas.
- Does it break up differently vs. the pure thermal decomposition?



A simple exponential does not work! There is a very long tail, some clusters remain bound for a very long time.

Instead, I am using (a) stretched exponential(s) to model the survival probability:

$$P_{s1}(t) = exp(-(t/\tau)^{\beta})$$

sum of 2 also useful, 5 parameter fit:

$$P_{s2}(t) = \frac{1}{1+A_2} \left[e^{-(t/\tau_1)^{\beta_1}} + A_2 e^{-(t/\tau_2)^{\beta_2}} \right]$$



Raw uata in solid lines, nis are in dashed/dash-dotted lines.

There can be issues at lower T, since impossibly long runs are needed to get the long tail. So it could be that fits at lower T underestimate $\langle \tau \rangle$ and overestimate β . Especially for nitric acid case.



- No comparison to experiment (yet)... but we can compare to dissociation rates γ from detailed balance (Vehkämäki et al.)
- We predict much lower dissociation rates! The long-tailed distribution again... (also probably other issues, too much extrapolation etc.)

If we have some kind of Arrhenius behaviour, then log<T> vs. 1/T should be linear: $\langle \tau_{s2} \rangle(T) = A \exp(B/k_B T)$

Looks pretty good! Extrapolating to 300 K, I would predict:

	A/fs	B/kcal mol ⁻¹	extrapolated <τ>
catechol	0.155	32.51	870 days
resorcinol	0.574	27.29	12.1 hours
hydroquinone	2.50	22.09	29 s
4-nitrophenol	9.61	33.23	~500 years
nitric acid	0.017	65.28	~10 ²³ years

Empirically at least, this fits the expected trend based on binding energies.

	detailed balance, 300K	1/<τ>, 300K	detailed balance, 900/1600K	1/<τ>, 900/1600K
catechol	0.02 /s	1.33x10 ⁻⁸	2.28x10 ¹²	4.87x10 ⁸
nitric acid	7.43x10 ⁻⁶ /s	1.65x10 ⁻³¹	3.94x10 ¹³	4.22x10 ⁷



- The gas will effectively reduce the cluster lifetimes by at least an order of magnitude, and much more in some cases.
- But not enough to completely explain the different predictions of dissociation rates.

100

е

3





- Starting T=300 K. Average of ~100 runs.
- Higher field strength accelerates the charged cluster faster, so it decomposes sooner.
- In lower field, higher pressure makes a

ference since the uster's acceleration ows due to drag. high field, the cluster oves so fast and llisions are so energetic at pressure pendence seems to go vay (or cancel out).



Cluster T, 2ps before decomposition

- Weak increase with field
- But at low P + high |E|, T_{clust} just before decomposition is lower! Not just thermal, ie. a single collision with the gas can cause the decomposition.



Take-home messages

- This work uses molecular dynamics to simulate cluster decomposition directly. Surprisingly doesn't seem to have been done before!
- MD simulations show that cluster/complex lifetimes have a very broad distribution.
- An isolated cluster in vacuum does not have a well-defined temperature! So individual clusters might last longer than expected if they are cold, and these are what show up in the long tail.
- This is especially important for smaller molecules with less degrees of freedom.
- Effect of collisions with gas is important, but timescale for gas to thermalize the clusters may still be long compared to cluster lifetime in some cases
- In high field/low pressure, thermalization is incomplete and high-energy collisions directly cause decomposition.
- More thought is required!

Future plans:

- Think more about the comparison with detailed balance: maybe run simulations on less strongly bound clusters?
- Try to figure out how to compare these results directly with experimental data.

Paper will be in PCCP real soon!

DOI: 10.1039/D5CP00908A



- Starting T=300 K.
 Average of ~100 runs.
- Higher field strength accelerates the charged cluster faster, so it decomposes sooner.
- In lower field, higher pressure makes a difference since the cluster's acceleration slows due to drag.
- In high field, the cluster moves so fast and collisions are so energetic that pressure dependence seems to go away (or cancel out).

Nitric acid cluster accelerates faster, so decomposes a bit faster than other clusters.