

. Introduction

Wood dynamics in fluvial systems are crucial for ecosystem health and channel morphology but enhance flood hazards when large wood (LW - at least 1m in length and 0.1 m in diameter) accumulates at key cross-sections. LW is mainly recruited during floods from eroded banks, debris flows, and hillslope failures. Research has advanced through monitoring and modeling efforts, estimating LW supply at different scales. However, models often lack integration with the geomorphic activity occurring during floods. Especially in steep, forested catchments, linking geomorphic activity to LW recruitment remains challenging. This study aims to address this gap by examining how channel dynamics influence forest loss and LW input.

2. Storm Alex and the Vésubie Valley

Storm Alex: October 2^d - 3rd, 2020

- 502 mm of rainfall in 24h in Saint-Martin-Vésubie
- Rainfall return period > 1000 years (*CEREMA, 2021*)
- Peak discharge at Vésubie outlet (392 km²): 700 1100 m³/s
- Discharge return period: 100 500 years (*Payrastre et al., 2022*)

Vésubie catchment (south-east France)

- Catchment size: 392 km²
- Tributary of the Var river (sea mouth at Nice)
- Elevation : mean = 1445 m ASL and max = 3143 m ASL
- Crystalline and sedimentary rocks
- Massive glacier deposits from the last glaciation
- Total active channel length: ≈ 150 km
- 43 Active tributaries (size range: 0.06 59 km²)

3. Materials and Methods

Pre- (2018) and post- event (2020) high-resolution LiDAR point clouds were used for: Geomorphic changes analyses: Forest cover changes analyses:

- DTMs resolution 0.5 m
- DEM of Difference (DoD) analysis (minLoD = 0.13 m)
- Estimation of geomorphic changes, mobilized sediment volumes and process intensities



Geomorphic changes highlighted by the DoD inside the tributaries (top row) and the main branches (bottom row)



- extension (*Sf*)
- Estimation of the forest cover loss (ΔSf): $\Delta S_f = S_{f_{post}} - S_{f_{pre}}$



Difference in forest cover between the pre- (top row) tributaries (left) and the main branches (right)

Geomorphic Response and Large Wood Recruitment in the Vésubie Valley (France) Following Storm Alex Marco Martini¹, Vincenzo D'Agostino¹, Guillaume Piton²

¹University of Padua, Department of Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry, 35020 Legnaro, Italy (marco.martini.9@phd.unipd.it) ²University of Grenoble Alpes, INRAE, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, IGE, 38000 Grenoble, France



Quantification of the pre- and post-event CHM

and post- (bottom row) event CHMs inside the

Storm Alex caused substantial geomorphic changes inside the active tributaries and main branches of the Vésube valley:

- Total volume of erosion = $7.04 \text{ Mm}^3 \pm 0.027 \text{ Mm}^3$
- Total volume of deposition = 4.90 Mm³ ± 0.022 Mm³
- Negative sediment net balance in every tributary highlight high connectivity between tributaries and valley bottoms

Erosion rates per length/surface unit of active channel showed high variability, but highlight the extreme intensities of the debris flows and debris floods triggered by the Storm:

• between **10 m³/m - 50 m³/m** in 23 tributaries

• > 50 m³/m in 4 tributaries and in the main branches

Segmentation of the geomorphologiacally active areas in 1589 100- m long reaches (Tributaries: n =1209; Main Branches: n =380)

- High erosion rates and limited sediment storage rates observed in the tributaries highlight high **sediment mobility** towards downstram
- High erosion and deposition rates are **consistent** in the **main branches**
- **Erosion intensities** (m³/m²) in the tributaries and the main branches are **comparable**
- No clear trend between slope and erosion intensity (m³/m²) was found (p-value = 0.06)
- Slope **highly influence** the deposion intensity (m^3/m^2) (p-value < 0.001), playing a major role in sediment connectivity, although it effect diminishes for decreasing slope



4. Geomorphic changes and large Wood recruitment at (Sub-)Catchment



5. Geomorphic changes and large Wood recruitment at reach scale

Forest cover loss was estimated inside the erosional and depositional zones of the reaches by overlapping the pre- and post- event CHM at the DoD:

- Increasing ΔSf (m²/m) were observed for increasing erosion and intensities (m³/m) (p-value< 0.001)
- In 80% of the reaches ΔSf is occurring simultaneously inside depositional zones
- Sediment net balance **is not** a good parameter to predict Δ*Sf*
- Good correlation between increasing ΔSf and increasing total mobilized sediment volume (V_{tot}): 0.77 and 0.73 for Spearman and Pearson respectively
- A **power-law equation** was tested to predict ΔSf as funtion of V_{tot} : $\Delta S_f' = V_{tot}^{0.68}$

Three equations are provided as the **10%, 50%, and 90% quantiles** of the ratio between $\Delta Sf'$ and V_{tot}^{068} representing an **optimistic**, **intermediate**, and catastrophic forest cover loss scenarios

	Model
	Best fit (quantile 68%)
it	Low forest cover loss (quantile 10%)
n	Intermediate forest cover loss (quantile 50%)
	High forest cover loss (quantile 90%)

• Cerema, DDTM06, DREAL PACA, EauAzur, EDF, INRAE, MNCA, ONF-RTM, SMIAGE, SPC (Météo France), UCA, Univ. Eiffel (2021) RETEX technique ALEX, Inondations des 2 et 3 octobre 2020, Consensus hydrologique • Payrastre, O., Nicolle, P., Bonnifait, L., Brigode, P., Astagneau, P., Baise, A., Belleville, A., Bouamara, N., Bourez, D., 2022. Tempête Alex du 2 octobre 2020 dans les Alpes-Maritimes : une contribution de la communauté scientifique à l'estimation des débits de pointe des crues. LHB 108, 2082891.972 https://doi.org/10.1080/27678490.2022.2082891

Recruited large wood volumes estimated from the extension of the forest cover loss and forest parameters

guantile 75 %)

Estimations

Intermediate

(median)

560





deposition erosional and

Equations			
$\Delta S_f' =$	$0.57 \cdot V_{to}$	0.68 ot	
$\Delta S_f' =$	$0.03 \cdot V_{to}$	0.68 t	
$\Delta S_f' =$	$0.34 \cdot V_{to}$	0.68 t	
$\Delta S_f' =$	$1.04 \cdot V_{to}$	0.68 t	



Relationships between forest cover loss per length unit of reach for: A) intensity of erosion, B) intensity of deposition, C) sediment net balance per length unit of reach, and D) total mobilized sediment volume per length unit of reach. E) Comparison between the ratio $\Delta Sf/V_{tot}^{068}$ and the total mobilized sediment volume per length unit of the reach