# Joan Antoni Parera-Portell<sup>1,2</sup>, Flor de Lis Mancilla<sup>1,2</sup>, José Morales<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaohui Yuan<sup>3</sup>, Benjamin Heit<sup>3</sup>, Jordi Diaz<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Instituto Andaluz de Geofísica, Campus de Cartuja, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain
- <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain <sup>3</sup> Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum GFZ, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam, Germany
- <sup>4</sup>Geosciences Barcelona, CSIC, Barcelona, Spain



Fig. 1: a) tectonic setting and location of the seismic stations; b) age of the surface geology in the study area (Cz: Cenozoic, Mz: Mesozoic, Npz-Pz: Neoproterozoic-Paleozoic); c) piercing point density at 100 km depth.

## Mapping the LAB

Why use S-wave receiver functions, or SRF? • No contamination of multiples

- Much larger lateral sampling
- SRF were depth migrated using commonconversion point (CCP) stacking. We constructed a grid of SRF CCP profiles with constant 0.25° spacing covering the study area. The LAB converter was automatically picked in each CCP profile using a set of conditions to prevent the picking of other phases (e.g. polarity, maximum and minimum depth, pulse prominence). A LAB depth map was obtained by interpolating the LAB picks with inverse distance weighting. Uncertainty is estimated at  $\pm 10$  km. We also updated the regional Moho map with the PRF from the latest desployments in the Betic Range.





Fig. 3: a) LAB depth, with contour lines are shown every 10 km; b) Moho depth.

# The topography of the seismic Lithosphere-Asthenosphere Boundary in Iberia and adjacent regions



### **DATA AND METHODS**

With 998 available broadband seismic stations in the area, we use receiver function analysis to the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary map (LAB) in the Ibero-Maghrebian region. Receiver functions are time series of P-to-S (PRF) or Sto-P (SRF) wave conversions that occur at seismic discontinuities and that can be obtained from teleseismic events recorded with threecomponent seismometers.

The study area limit (in red) is defined by a minimum piercing point density (15 points per 0.5° cell). Each piercing point corresponds to a single teleseismic event and receiver function.

# LAB FEATURES AND COMPARISON WITH THE MOHO

Most of the Ibero-Maghrebian region is characterized by a relatively shallow LAB, being shallowest along a corridor of <80 km depth stretching from the Massif Central to the Rif along the Mediterranean coast, achieving a minimum depth of 70 km. The LAB is also <80 km in northwest Iberia, but deepens in most central Iberia and the Atlas range (80 to 90 km). Only in the Gulf of Cádiz and in north-central Iberia a depth of >90 km is reached.

The LAB and the Moho are expected to be positively correlated, so that lithospheric thickness should increase with crustal thickness. This is the case in 1) northern Iberia, with thickened crust (>35 km) and deeper LAB (>90 km), 2) eastern Iberia and the Alboran basin, with thinned crust (<30 km) and shallow LAB (<80 km), and 3) the Hercynian crust of the Iberian Massif, with both a shallow Moho (~30 km) and LAB (<80 km) given the old age of the crust. However, the LAB and Moho depths are not correlated in parts of the Gibraltar Arc and in the Atlas mountains, suggesting that in some areas the high topography is not isostatically compensated.



# LAB SLOPE AND SUBLITHOSPHERIC NEGATIVE VELOCITY GRADIENTS (NVG)

Major tectonic structures in the region, such as the STEP fault in the Betic Range and the eastern limit of the pyrenean underthrusting in NE Iberia, are responsible for sharp LAB depth transitions. The sharpest LAB lateral change occurs along the western margin of the Massif Central, and is probably linked to the Sillon Houiller transform and the Toulouse fault. Increased LAB slope is also found immediately east of the Jurassic oceanic lithosphere (JOL) of the Gibraltar-Alboran slab, coinciding also with the location of intermediate depth seismicity.



Three sublithospheric negative velocity gradients (NVG) were found at varying depths. In the Gibraltar Arc we associate two of such NVG to slab-related processes (either flow of hotter mantle materials through slab windows or areas of partial melting). The third NVG (under the Western Pyrenees and Iberian Range) remains open to speculation, but could be a case of two-layered melt structure which has been found to occur in several regions around the globe in different tectonic settings.

Fig. 5: location of the JOL, NVG layers, the STEP fault, the Pyrenean undesthrusting (UT) and the epiceters of intermediate seismicity in the Gibraltar Arc.



Fig. 6: Interpreted SRF CCP profile A-A' in the Gibraltar Arc (ESE-WNW). Location in Figure 1c.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the teams behind the seismic networks used in this work: 2M, 3D, 3J, 8A, 9C, 9H, CA, ES, FR, GE, Andalusian network, IB, IU, LC, LX, MN, PINSAPO, PM, RD, SC, TAPAS, TROPICAL, WM, X7, XB, YR, YS, ZC, ZI and ZU. We thank the Principal Investigators of the restricted networks for the data availability. This work has been funded by the projects PID2023-150188NB-I00 and PID2019-109608GB-I00 granted by the Spanish Research Agency (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033) and the FPI grant PRE2020-092556 from the Spanish Research Agency and the European Social Fund.





**UNIVERSIDAI** 

**DE GRANADA** 

Fig. 4: LAB gradient (as slope in degrees), with vector field indicating the upslope gradient direction.