Analysis of a fire danger index over Southeast Europe

Péter Szabó, Rita Pongrácz, Judit Bartholy

ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, Department of Meteorology, Budapest, Hungary, Contact: szabo.p.elte@gmail.com

1. Introduction and Summary

- Rising wildfire risk in Northern Southeast Europe: Historically less prone to forest fires, the region is facing climate change with rising temperatures, more frequent heatwaves, and unpredictable rainfall creating ideal wildfire conditions.
- Wildfire disasters in 2023: Canada (largest: 170,000 km²); Greece (EU's worst wildfire since 2000); Hawaii (97 deaths); Kazakhstan (deadliest steppe fire).
- Forest Fire Danger Index (FFDI): incorporating wind speed, temperature, humidity, and soil dryness as pre-condition (using Keetch-Byram Drought Index).
- Results: FFDI reveals significant wildfire risk increase in Romania, Bulgaria, Serbia, Hungary, and Croatia after 2060, especially under the RCP8.5 scenario.
- Impacts: damage to property, life, yield losses, and air quality.
- Urgent need for action: Enhanced fire monitoring, education, and warning systems are crucial to address the growing wildfire threats in the region.

2. Methodology and Data

• • • • • • • • • • • •

- Fire = long-term effects and short-term conditions
- Favorable daily conditions for fires:

5. Simulation results

Evaluation

- It is a complex indicator (Fire danger = KBDI > 150 + FFDI >5) that requires 5 daily weather variables.
- It is challenging to conduct evaluations when occurrences are few or non-existent.
- Individual models perform poorly compared to observations
- (HIRHAM5, RACM22E underestimate, RCA4, REMO2015 overestimate), but their ensemble means are acceptable.
- Which RCP is closer to observations? RCP2.6 shows higher spatial correlation, and more model simulations perform better.



Mean of 5 models, 2001-2022, RCP2.6 Mean of 5 models, 2001-2022, RCP8.5







- **Future results**
- We tested bias correction methods of both absolute and relative deltas.
- The relative delta (MOD_future/MOD_past*OBS_past) does not generate values where none existed in the past.

40

0

Ň

202

0

6

O

0

N

- Since the spatial correlation is worse for E-OBS compared to the RCP2.6 mean of 5 models, we present the results of raw
 - changes instead of those derived from the absolute delta (MODEL_future-MODEL_past+OBS_past).

Change of fire danger

Reference: 2001-2022 with RCP2.6





• 1) HUCLIM observations (ver. 2023)

homogenized for Hungary



KGPZ.0





RCP8.5

& mean of 5 models





3. Observations in Hungary

We analyzed HUCLIM – has better representation of stations over Hungary





There is a clear difference between scenarios after the mid-century, though exact changes should be interpreted cautiously.

With RCP2.6, substantially smaller changes are expected, and the change is smaller with each 20 years.

New strategies are needed, including improved monitoring, education, and warning systems.

With RCP8.5, a large increase is projected across most areas, especially in the South where fire danger already exists, particularly by the end-century. Many new areas will be prone to fire danger.

Croatia, Hungary, Serbia, and Romania are expected to experience 10-15 days/year more with fire danger (~10x increase). Bulgaria and the Adriatic coast may have severely long fire danger.

KBDI > 150, Extremely dry soil, 2001-2022, day/year

RCA4

REMO2015











Some days of extremely dry soil + basically no days with very high fire danger weather. No days with both.

2060

2040

Take the moderate danger threshold, FFDI > 5 instead.

+4.3 °C

Results: Currently low risk in 2001-2022

Except: Great Hungarian Plain (croplands, steppe)

- 0-3 day/year, but occurred only in 5 years since 2001 No. days over affected area
- Most extreme: 2022, then 2012
 - 2012 and 2022 correlates well with satellite data
 - Satellite: 10x more burnt areas in 2022 than before, Natura2000 affected



- E-OBS has a similar magnitude, but the area with values of 2-3 days/year is overestimated and shifted by 50-100 km. This is mainly due to the overestimation and spatial mismatch of KBDI (extremely dry soil) high values
- rather than FFDI (fire danger weather).
- We need to be cautious when using E-OBS for complex calculations or over areas with fewer stations.

Fire danger = KBDI > 150 + FFDI >5









Acknowledgement The current study was supported by the following sources: the European Climate Fund (G-2309-66801), the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Fund (K-129162) and the National Multidisciplinary Laboratory for Climate Change (RRF-2.3.1-21-2022-00014). Partly, the infrastructure was provided by the DKRZ project bk1088 supported by the IS-ENES Analysis Platforms.

