

Towards a new release of the GOCO model: Contribution of GRACE-FO LRI data

F. Öhlinger and T. Mayer-Gürr

Institute of Geodesy Graz University of Technology, Austria

GSTM Potsdam 2024-10-08





- A combined satellite-only global gravity field model
 - Satellite gravimetry
 - Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR)
 - Kinematic orbits of Low-Earth orbit (LEO) satellites



Image credit: ESA, NASA, DLR





- A combined satellite-only global gravity field model
 - Satellite gravimetry
 - GRACE (2002 2017)
 - GOCE (2009 2013)
 - GRACE-FO (2018 ongoing)
 - Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR)
 - LAGEOS 1 + LAGEOS 2
 - Ajisai
 - Stella + Starlette
 - LARES 1 + LARES 2
 - LARETS, BLITS, ...
 - Kinematic orbits of Low-Earth orbit (LEO) satellites
 - GOCE
 - CHAMP
 - TerraSAR-X + TanDEM-X
 - Sentinel, Swarm, Jason, ...



Image credit: ESA, NASA, DLR





- A combined satellite-only global gravity field model
 - Static gravity field
 - Up to degree and order (d/o) 300
 - Trend
 - d/o 200
 - Annual signal
 - d/o 200
 - Semi-annual signal
 - d/o 200

 \rightarrow Using over 20 years of satellite data...







- Difference to GOCO06s (Kvas et al., 2021)
 - Reprocessing of the GOCE and GRACE normal equations
 - using consistent and up-to-date background models
 - Addition of the GRACE-FO normal equations
 - including LRI observations (LRI1B v54; Müller et al., 2024)
 - SLR of more satellites over a longer time span
 - Kinematic orbits of more LEO satellites







- Difference to GOCO06s (Kvas et al., 2021)
 - Reprocessing of the GOCE and GRACE normal equations
 - using consistent and up-to-date background models
 - Addition of the GRACE-FO normal equations
 - including LRI observations (LRI1B v54; Müller et al., 2024)
 - SLR of more satellites over a longer time span
 - Kinematic orbits of more LEO satellites
 - Re-estimation of background model uncertainties using AODRL07 (Shihora et al., 2022)
 - Ocean tides
 - new GRACE/GRACE-FO correction estimates
 - degree 3 tides (Sulzbach, et. al, 2022)
 - Additional estimation of semi-annual oscillation







- GRACE and GRACE-FO monthly solutions
 - 162 months of GRACE data
 - 73 months of GRACE-FO data so far (48 combined KBR + LRI gravity field solutions)







- An adequate stochastic model is required:
 - to properly weigh the observations
 - to compute an optimal least-squares solution
 - to obtain realistic formal errors





- An adequate stochastic model is required:
 - to properly weigh the observations
 - to compute an optimal least-squares solution
 - to obtain realistic formal errors
- Using both KBR and LRI measurements
 - Allows the determination of the properties of the individual noise sources (Kvas et al., 2020)

$$\Delta l = \begin{pmatrix} l_{KBR} \\ l_{LRI} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_0 \end{pmatrix}, f_0 = f_m(x_0, y_m, a_{ACC}, a_{ACT}, \dots)$$

- f_m ... functional model including errors and noise of background models, accelerations, ...
- f_0 ... is common to both SST measurements \rightarrow can be separated



- Covariance function
 - Assumption of stationary noise for each noise component k: Σ_{KBR} , Σ_{LRI} , Σ_{CMN}
 - Σ_{CMN} ... covariance matrix of common noise sources
 - Covariance matrices are Toeplitz matrices
 - Setup for a short interval e.g. 3 hours







- Covariance function
 - Assumption of stationary noise for each noise component k: Σ_{KBR} , Σ_{LRI} , Σ_{CMN}
 - Σ_{CMN} ... covariance matrix of common noise sources
 - Covariance matrices are Toeplitz matrices
 - Setup for a short interval e.g. 3 hours
 - Expressed by the amplitudes of the power spectrum
 - $\sum_{k} = a_1^2 F_1 + a_2^2 F_2 + \dots + a_N^2 F_N$
 - $F_n = \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}n(t_i t_j)\right)\right)_{ij} \dots \text{ cos-transformation matrix}$
 - a_n^2 determined through variance component estimation (VCE; Ellmer, 2018)
 - Iteratively adjusting the covariance structure of each observation group k







- Covariance function
 - Assumption of stationary noise for each noise component k: Σ_{KBR} , Σ_{LRI} , Σ_{CMN}
 - Σ_{CMN} ... covariance matrix of common noise sources
 - Covariance matrices are Toeplitz matrices
 - Setup for a short interval e.g. 3 hours
 - Expressed by the amplitudes of the power spectrum
 - $\sum_{k} = a_1^2 F_1 + a_2^2 F_2 + \dots + a_N^2 F_N$
 - $F_n = \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}n(t_i t_j)\right)\right)_{ij} \dots \text{ cos-transformation matrix}$
 - a_n^2 determined through variance component estimation (VCE; Ellmer, 2018)
 - Iteratively adjusting the covariance structure of each observation group k
 - Covariance matrix of the reduced observations:

$$\Sigma(\Delta l) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{KBR} & \\ & \Sigma_{LRI} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{CMN} & \Sigma_{CMN} \\ \Sigma_{CMN} & \Sigma_{CMN} \end{pmatrix}$$



Combined KBR + LRI processing: Disentanglement of residuals



- Partial disentanglement of KBR, LRI and CMN residuals
 - Separated post-fit residuals can be used to closer study instrument-related effects
 - Least-squares prediction using the estimated covariance functions Σ_{KBR} , Σ_{LRI} and Σ_{CMN}





Preliminary results

- Static gravity field
 - No estimation of trend and annual-(semiannual) oscillation so far
 - will be done using a regionally adapted Kaula regularization strategy (Kvas, 2021)
 - Estimated up to d/o 250 (using data from 2019-01 to 2023-06)
 - Comparison of KBR + LRI and KBR-only solution
 - Improvement due to inclusion of LRI data









Preliminary results



- Static gravity field
 - Combination of GOCO06s and GRACE-FO KBR + LRI solution
 - Contribution of LRI observations:
 - biggest impact at near-zonal coefficients up to d/o 200





Processing software



- GROOPS
 - Source code available on GitHub:
 - Documentation and Cookbook:
 - Corresponding paper: Mayer-Gürr et al. (2021)

https://github.com/groops-devs/groops https://groops-devs.github.io/groops/html/index.html https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2021.104864



References



- Kvas, A., Brockmann, J. M., Krauss, S., Schubert, T., Gruber, T., Meyer, U., Mayer-Gürr, T., Schuh, W.-D., Jäggi, A., and Pail, R.: GOCO06s – a satellite-only global gravity field model, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 99–118, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-99-2021</u>, 2021.
- Shihora, L., Kyriakos, B., Dill, R., Dahle, C., Ghobadi-Far, K., Bonin, J., and Dobslaw, H.: Non-Tidal Background Modeling for Satellite Gravimetry Based on Operational ECWMF and ERA5 Reanalysis Data: AOD1B RL07, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB024360</u>, 2022.
- Sulzbach, R., Wziontek, H., Hart-Davis, M., Dobslaw, H., Scherneck, H., Van Camp, M., Omang, O., Antokoletz, E., Voigt, C., Dettmering, D., Thomas, M.: Modeling gravimetric signatures of third-degree ocean tides and their detection in superconducting gravimeter records. Journal of Geodesy. 96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-022-01609-w</u>, 2022.
- Müller, L., Müller, V., Misfeldt, M., AEI LRI1B and RTC1B Release Notes, Version 3.16, June 01, 2024.
- Kvas, A., Behzadpour, S., and Mayer-Guerr, T.: Gravity Field Recovery and Observation Noise Separation from Simultaneous Laser Ranging Interferometer and K-Band Ranging System Measurements, GRACE/GRACE-FO Science Team Meeting 2020, online, 27 October–29 Oct 2020, GSTM2020-14, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gstm2020-14, 2020</u>
- Ellmer, M., Contributions to GRACE Gravity Field Recovery: Improvements in Dynamic Orbit Integration Stochastic Modelling of the Antenna Offset Correction, and Co-Estimation of Satellite Orientations. Graz: Verlag der Technischen Universität Graz. <u>https://doi.org/10.3217/978-3-85125-646-8</u>, 2018
- Mayer-Gürr, T., Behzadpour, S., Eicker, A., Ellmer, M., Koch, B., Krauss, S., Pock, C., Rieser, D., Strasser, S., Süsser-Rechberger, B., Zehentner, N., and Kvas, A.: GROOPS: A software toolkit for gravity field recovery and GNSS processing, Computers & Geosciences, Volume 155, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2021.104864</u>, 2021.



Towards a new release of the GOCO model: Contribution of GRACE-FO LRI data

F. Öhlinger and T. Mayer-Gürr

Institute of Geodesy Graz University of Technology, Austria

GSTM Potsdam 2024-10-08

